## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 1999

June 16, 1998.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. PACKARD, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

# REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 4059]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignments and closures for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999.

## CONTENTS

	- 45
Summary of Committee Recommendation	2
Conformance With Authorization Bill	3
Permanent Party Unaccompanied Personnel Housing	3
Fiscal Year 1999 Barracks Request	1
Child Development Centers	$\overline{5}$
Child Care Services—Outsourcing Initiative	9
Clind Care Services—Outsourcing initiative	0
Hospital and Medical Facilities	6
Environmental Compliance Projects	7
Proposed Financing via Prior Year Savings	8
Transfer Authority	8
Advance Appropriations	8
Real Property Maintenance	9
DOD Activities in Naples, Italy	10
Korea Master Plan	10
Program, Project and Activity	11
Planning and Budgeting	$\overline{11}$
Metric Conversion	11
Military Construction:	11
Army	11
	13
Navy	13
Air Force	
Defense-wide	14
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied	
Housing Improvement Fund	16
Reserve Components	17
-	

NATO Security Investment Program	19
Family Housing Overview	20
Family Housing:	
Army	25
Navy	$^{26}$
Air Force	$^{27}$
Defense-wide	28
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	28
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	30
Base Realignment and Closure:	
Overview	31
Part I	37
Part II	37
Part III	37
Part IV	37
Changes in Application of Existing Law	38
Compliance with Rule XIII—Clause 3	40
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law	40
Transfer of Funds	41
Rescission of Funds	41
Constitutional Authority	41
Comparisons With Budget Resolution	41
Advance Spending Authority	42
Five-Year Projection of Outlays	42
Financial Assistance	$\overline{42}$
State List	$\overline{42}$
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority	60

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Administration's fiscal year 1999 budget request of \$7,784,074,000 represents a decrease of \$1,424,394,000, or 15 percent, from the fiscal year 1998 appropriation of \$9,208,468,000. The request includes \$2,570,040,000 for military construction, \$3,477,330,000 for family housing and \$1,730,704,000 for activities

associated with base closure and realignment.

While there are aspects of the budget request that help to solve the long-term infrastructure problems faced by the Department of Defense, the Committee has some concerns over the request. For example, the Administration has committed itself to a serious barracks revitalization program. Yet, the request for barracks construction is \$198,245,000 below last year's appropriation. And, family housing construction and operation and maintenance accounts are reduced by \$385,768,000. The budget request would provide \$592,846,000 for family housing construction, a reduction of \$262,274,000 from current levels. Of this amount, \$270,103,000 is requested for construction of new family housing units, a reduction of \$168,686,000 or 38 percent, from current spending. And, the request for improvements to existing family housing units is reduced by \$95,588,000, or 23 percent from the current program. In addition, the budget request would reduce maintenance of family housing units a total of \$68,086,000.

The Committee believes it is imperative to address the severe

The Committee believes it is imperative to address the severe backlog in readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects. The Committee has recommended an additional \$450,000,000 above the Administration's fiscal year 1999 budget request to fund the planning and construction of several barracks, family housing and oper-

ational facilities.

The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 1999 is \$8,234,074,000, a reduction of \$974,394,000, or 11 percent, from fis-

cal year 1998 funding and an increase of \$450,000,000 above the fiscal year 1999 budget request. The appropriation includes \$2,990,817,000 for military construction, \$3,506,553,000 for family housing and \$1,730,704,000 for activities associated with base closure and realignment. The following table provides a breakout of the highlights of the bill:

FY 1998 net appropriation	\$9.2 billion
Subcommittee Recommendation	8.2 billion
Decrease below FY 1998	974 million
Increase over President's Request	450 million

Military Construction: \$3.0 billion (37% of total bill), including:

\$635 million for barracks

\$31 million for child development centers

\$206 million for hospital and medical facilities

\$93 million for environmental compliance

\$47 million for the energy conservation improvement program

\$125 million for the chemical weapons demilitarization program

\$169 million for NATO Security Investment Program

\$309 million for Guard and Reserve components

Family Housing: \$3.5 billion (43% of total bill), including:

\$392 million for new family housing units, and for improvements to existing units

\$242 million for Public-Private Ventures of family housing \$2.8 billion for operation and maintenance of existing units

Base Realignment and Closure: \$1.7 billion (21% of total bill), including:

\$233 million for military construction and family housing

\$698 million for environmental cleanup

\$768 million for operations and maintenance

## CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The House passed the National Defense Authorization Act for 1999 (H.R. 3616) on May 21, 1998 by a vote of 357–60, which contains authorization for the military construction, family housing and base realignment and closure accounts included in this bill. Because Senate and conference action on the authorization had not been completed at the time this bill was prepared, the Committee is considering only projects recommended for authorization. All projects included in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

#### PERMANENT PARTY UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING

The Department of Defense estimates that 43 percent of the enlisted force and 27 percent of the officers are single or unaccompanied personnel. Although 32 percent live in private off-base housing, the Department has over 390,252 men and women living in permanent party unaccompanied personnel housing. Approximately one-half of the barracks were built 30 or more years ago, with an average age of over 40 years. And, over 77,000 spaces are still serviced by gang latrines. Of the total inventory approximately 36% are considered substandard and continuous maintenance is necessary to deal with such problems as asbestos, corroded pipes, inadequate

ventilation, faulty heating and cooling systems, and peeling lead-

based paint.

In fiscal year 1997, the respective Services deficit count due to the lack of barracks spaces to house single service members or the need to replace or improve current spaces was 238,000. As a result of the Congressional initiative to accelerate the barracks revitalization effort, current deficit estimates have been reduced to 154,628 single service members. The Department of Defense estimates current total costs to achieve desired end states at \$8,650,000,000, as compared to \$14,280,000,000 in fiscal year 1997. And, the timetable to accomplish the revitalization has decreased from over

twenty years to thirteen years.

The Committee understands that improving troop housing does not lie solely in new construction and renovations. Retiring the backlog of maintenance and repair, which is under the jurisdiction of the National Security Subcommittee, and an adequate funding commitment to prevent future backlogs plays an important role in this process. It is necessary to use many different approaches to help meet the unaccompanied housing need. The challenge is for a sustained overall commitment, at funding levels that will reduce the backlog of substandard spaces, reduce the housing deficits, and increase the quality of living conditions in a reasonable period of time.

#### FISCAL YEAR 1999 BARRACKS REQUEST

The Department of Defense has requested \$566,722,000 to construct or modernize 33 barracks in fiscal year 1999. This is a reduction of \$198,245,000 from the enacted fiscal year 1998 appropriation.

The Committee has approved the request of \$566,722,000 in full. In order to help alleviate the deficit, an additional \$67,950,000 is recommended. The total appropriation for unaccompanied housing recommended in this bill is \$634,672,000.

The following troop housing construction projects are recommended for fiscal year 1999:

FISCAL YEAR 1999 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Georgia—Fort Benning	28,600,000	28,600,000
Hawaii—Schofield Barracks	47,500,000	47,500,000
Kentucky—Fort Campbell	41,000,000	41,000,000
Missouri—Fort Leonard Wood	0	23,000,000
North Carolina—Fort Bragg	47,000,000	47,000,000
North Carolina—Fort Bragg	0	10,600,000
Oklahoma—Fort Sill	20,500,000	20,500,000
Texas—Fort Sam Houston	21,800,000	21,800,000
Virginia—Fort Eustis	36,531,000	36,531,000
Germany—Schweinfurt	18,000,000	18,000,000
Korea—Camp Casey	13,400,000	13,400,000
Korea—Camp Castle	18,226,000	18,226,000
Korea—Camp Humphreys	8,500,000	8,500,000
Korea—Camp Stanley	5,800,000	5,800,000
Subtotal, Army	306,857,000	340,457,000

FISCAL YEAR 1999 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Navy/Marine Corps/Naval Reserve:		
Arizona—Yuma Marine Corps Air Station	11,010,000	11,010,000
California—Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	12,400,000	12,400,000
California—Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	15,840,000	15,840,000
California—Miramar MCAS	29,570,000	29,570,000
California—Naval Facility San Clemente	8,350,000	8,350,000
Hawaii—Kaneohe Bay	27,410,000	27,410,000
Hawaii—NSB Pearl Harbor	8,060,000	8,060,000
Louisiana—New Orleans NAS	0	9,520,000
Mississippi—Gulfport Naval Construction Battalion Center	10,670,000	10,670,000
South Carolina—Parris Island Marine Corps Recruit Depot	0	8,030,000
Texas—Ingleside NS	0	12,200,000
Greece—Souda Bay Crete Naval Support Activity	5,260,000	5,260,000
Subtotal, Navy	128,570,000	158,320,000
Air Force/Air Force Reserve:		
Alabama—Maxwell AFB	12,765,000	12,765,000
Florida—Eglin AFB	7,866,000	7,866,000
Florida—Homestead ARB	0	4,600,000
Idaho—Mountain Home AFB	8,897,000	8,897,000
Mississippi—Keesler AFB	29,770,000	29,770,000
Nevada—Nellis AFB	6,378,000	6,378,000
Oklahoma—Tinker AFB	9,100,000	9,100,000
Texas—Lackland AFB	6,800,000	6,800,000
Germany—Spangdalem AB	9,501,000	9,501,000
Korea—Kunsan AB	5,958,000	5,958,000
Korea—Osan AB	7,496,000	7,496,000
United Kingdom—RAF Lakenheath	15,838,000	15,838,000
United Kingdom—RAF Mildenhall	10,926,000	10,926,000
Subtotal, Air Force	131,295,000	135,895,000
= Total	566,722,000	634,672,000

# CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The Committee has recommended an additional \$7,900,000 above the budget estimate of \$23,148,000 for a total appropriation of \$31,048,000 for new construction, or improvements, for child development centers. The Office of the Secretary of Defense established a goal of providing quality child care to 65% of the potential need in 1992. The Army met the 65% goal this year. The Marine Corps expects to reach the goal by 2002, and the Air Force and Navy are programmed to reach 65% by 2003. The Committee notes that to optimally meet the Department's demand an 80 percent goal must be achieved. The Committee recognizes the increased importance of these centers due to the rising number of single military parents, dual military couples and military personnel with a civilian employed spouse. The Department is encouraged to maintain all efforts possible to meet 80 percent of the child care need.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 1999:

### FISCAL YEAR 1999 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
California—Fort Irwin	0	5,100,000
Belgium	6,300,000	6,300,000
Germany—Kitzingen Family Housing (Wuerzburg)	4,250,000	4,250,000
Subtotal, Army	10,550,000	15,650,000
Navy/Naval Reserve:		
Florida—Key West NAS	3,730,000	3,730,000
Georgia—Albany Marine Corps Logistics Base	0	2,800,000
North Carolina—Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station	4,420,000	4,420,000
Subtotal, Navy	8,150,000	10,950,000
Air Force/Air Force Reserve:		<del></del>
Maryland—Andrews AFB	4,448,000	4,448,000
Subtotal, Air Force	4,448,000	4,448,000
Total	23,148,000	31,048,000

### CHILD CARE SERVICES—OUTSOURCING INITIATIVE

The Department is conducting demonstration projects to review ways of providing child care services by using third party contracting. Currently the Navy is purchasing spaces in accredited child development centers by buying down the cost for military families. The Navy has awarded contracts in Jacksonville, Florida; Norfolk, Virginia; San Diego, California; and Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. The Defense Logistics Agency is testing the management and operation of a military-constructed child development center by a private contractor in Dayton, Ohio. The Committee supports these efforts and directs the Department to report on the status and success of these demonstration projects, and any other efforts for third party contracting by February 1, 1999.

### HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

The budget request includes \$206,732,000 for 25 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including both treatment facilities and medical support facilities. The Committee recommends full funding for the requested items for fiscal year 1999 as follows:

Location	Project title	Request	Recommended
California—Camp Pendleton	Medical/Dental Cl Repl (Margarita)	3,100,000	3,100,000
California—Camp Pendleton	Medical/Dental CI Repl (San Mateo)	3,200,000	3,200,000
California—Edwards AFB	Aerospace Medical Clinic Add/Alt	6,000,000	6,000,000
California—San Diego Naval Hos- pital	Water Storage Tank	1,350,000	1,350,000
California—Travis AFB	Patient Movement Items Ops & Dist Center	1,700,000	1,700,000
Florida-Eglin AFB	Central Energy Plant	9,200,000	9,200,000
Florida—Pensacola NAS	Hospital Addition/Alteration/LSU	25,400,000	25,400,000
Georgia—Moody AFB	CMF Alteration/Dental CI Add	11,000,000	11,000,000
Georgia—Fort Stewart	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	10,400,000	10,400,000
Louisiana—Barksdale AFB	Clinic Addition/Alteration	3,450,000	3,450,000
Mississippi—Keesler AFB	Bioenvironmental Eng Fac Repl	700,000	700,000
New Mexico—Holloman AFB	War Readines Material Warehouse	1,300,000	1,300,000

Location	Project title	Request	Recommended
North Carolina—Fort Bragg	44th Medical Brigade WRM Warehouse	6,500,000	6,500,000
North Dakota—Grand Forks AFB	Medical/Dental Clinic Add/Alt	5,600,000	5,600,000
Pennsylvania—Carlisle Barracks	Health Clinic Addition	4,678,000	4,678,000
Texas—Fort Hood	Blood Donor Center	3,100,000	3,100,000
Texas—Fort Hood	Primary Care Clinic	11,000,000	11,000,000
Virginia—Cheatham Annex	Fleet Hosp Spt Ops Operational Warehouse	9,400,000	9,400,000
Virginia—Cheatham Annex	Fleet Hosp Support Operation Admin Office	1.900.000	1,900,000
Virginia—Portsmouth Naval Hos- pital	Hospital Replacement Phase X	17,954,000	17,954,000
Washington—Bangor Naval Sub- marine Base	Disease Vector Ecology & Control Center	5,700,000	5,700,000
Washington—Bremerton Naval Hos- pital	Hospital Addition/Alteration	28,000,000	28,000,000
Washington—McChord AFB	Clinic/WRM Warehouse Replacement	20,000,000	20,000,000
Italy—Sigonella Naval Air Station	Flight Line Dispensary	5,300,000	5,300,000
United Kingdom—Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Hospital Annex Replacement	10,800,000	10,800,000
Total		206,732,000	206,732,000

### ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The total budget request and appropriation for 18 projects needed to meet environmental compliance is \$92,534,000. The Federal Facilities Compliance Act requires all federal facilities to meet both federal and State standards. These projects are considered Class I violations and are out of compliance; have received an enforcement action from the Environmental Protection Agency, the State, or local authority; and/or a compliance agreement has been signed or consent order received. Environmental projects that are Class I violations are required to be funded, and therefore are placed at the top of the priority list.

top of the priority list.

Following is a listing of all environmental compliance projects funded in this bill:

Installtion	Project title	Recommended
Army:		
Fort Lewis, WA	Tank Trail Erosion Mitigation, Yakima Range	2,000,000
Navy:		
PWC Pearl Harbor, HI	Sewer Outfall Extension	22,877,000
PWC Pearl Harbor, HI	Steam Condensate Return System	6,090,000
NSWC Div, Indian Head, MD	Annealing Oven Facility	6,680,000
NETC Newport, RI	Boiler Plate Modifications	5,630,000
Air Force:		
Maxwell AFB, AL	Fire Training Facility	1,837,000
Eglin AFB, FL	Fire Training Facility	1,823,000
MacDill AFB, FL	Fire Training Facility	2,494,000
Kirtland AFB, NM	Fire Training Facility	1,774,000
Grand Forks AFB, ND	Fire Training Facility	2,686,000
Vance AFB, OK	Fire Training Facility	1,823,000
Defense Logisitics Agency:	3 4 7	,,
DFSP Jacksonville Annex. FL	Replace Fuel Tanks, Mayport Annex	11.020.000
DFSP Jacksonville, FL	Replace Fuel Tanks	11,000,000
DFSP Camp Shelby, MS	Replace Bulk Fuel Facility	5,300,000
DFSP Fort Sill, OK	Replace Fuel Storage Facility	3,500,000
Various Locations	Conforming Storage Facility	1,300,000
Air National Guard:	0 0 ,	
Alpena County National Airport	Sanitary Lines	3,900,000
Hector Field	Regional Fireman Training Facility	800,000
Total		92,534,000

# PROPOSED FINANCING OF CURRENT YEAR PROGRAMS VIA PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS

The budget request for fiscal year 1999 proposed partial financing of current year programs via prior year savings, as follows:

Family Housing, Army	\$1,639,000 6,323,000 7,584,000
Total	15,546,000

If program execution has resulted in identifiable prior year savings within individual projects, the correct financing method is to detail such savings and to request rescissions of funds by account and by fiscal year. The Committee directs the Department to follow the conventional rescission procedure in future budget submissions.

#### TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The budget request proposed a general provision which would allow the transfer of up to \$200,000,000 between any accounts in the bill, and this could be accomplished at the determination of the Secretary of Defense and upon the approval of OMB. Congress would be given an "after the fact" notification. The Committee believes that the existing reprogramming procedures are sufficient in solving urgent, high priority funding problems within available resources and denies this request.

## ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS

The Department of Defense has requested advance appropriations in the amount of \$568,550,000. Advance appropriations have not been requested in the past for large construction projects such as replacement hospitals, chemical demilitarization facilities, and comprehensive weapons beddown programs. A noteworthy example is the Portsmouth Naval Hospital for which the tenth and final increment is requested in fiscal year 1999. It is clearly impossible to project costs and execution schedules that far into the future. The Committee's experience with reprogramming requests is sufficient to demonstrate that cost estimates a single year into the future may be less than fully reliable.

In addition, specific projects were not listed in the C-1 as phased projects and contained no documentation of which projects were to receive advance appropriations. For example, the West Point Cadet Physical Development Center was requested at \$12,000,000 for fiscal year 1999. The state listing made no mention that this was Phase I of an \$85,000,000 project. Following is a breakout of the individual projects which total the \$568,550,000 advance appropriation request. The Committee denies all of the advance appropriations and directs the Department to request these funds in the appropriate fiscal year.

ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS INCLUDED IN FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION BUDGET REQUEST

Account and fiscal year	Amount	Location/project
MilCon, Army:	\$72 000 000	Pine Rluff AR. Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase IV

ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS INCLUDED IN FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION BUDGET REQUEST—

Continued

Account and fiscal year	Amount	Location/project
2000	60,750,000 13,000,000 58,500,000 29,000,000 9,000,000 15,000,000 36,000,000	Newport, IN: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase II. Fort Leavenworth, KS: Disciplinary Barrackes, Phase III. Aberdeen, MD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase II. West Point, NY: Cadet Physical Development Center, Phase II. Umatilla, OR: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase V. Fort Hood, TX: Railhead Facility, Phase II. Roi Namur, Kwajalein: Power Plant, Phase II.
Subtotal	293,250,000	
MilCon, Army: 2001 2001 2001 Subtotal	17,000,000 87,500,000 85,000,000 189,500,000	Pine Bluff, AR: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase V. Newport, IN: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase III. Aberdeen, MD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase III.
MilCon, Army: 2002 2002 2002 2002	13,800,000 14,500,000 44,000,000 72,300,000	Newport, IN: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase IV. Aberdeen, MD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase IV. West Point, NY: Cadet Physical Development Center, Phase III.
MilCon, Navy: 2000	13,500,000	Norfolk NS, VA: Berthing Pier, Phase II.
Grand total	568,550,000	

## REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget submissions. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

## REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE: REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Service Secretary concerned is directed to notify the Military Construction Subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee before carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$10,000,000. Further, the Committee continues to expect the general rules for repairing a facility under Operation and Maintenance account funding will be as follows:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.

Interior rearrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

#### DOD ACTIVITIES IN NAPLES, ITALY

The Secretary of Defense is to report to the Committee on the current status of the relocation of the 16th Air Force Headquarters from Aviano Air Base, Italy to Naples, Italy and the move of Commander in Chief US Naval Forces, Europe from London, England to Naples, Italy. In particular, OSD is directed to look at the feasibility of using the newly constructed facilities at the Naval Support Activity, Capodichino, Italy in lieu of leased facilities. This report should be submitted to the Committee no later than December 1, 1998.

#### KOREA MASTER PLAN

Over the past 40 years there have been a number of facilities master plans for the Korean Peninsula. These plans have been adjusted many times as the force structure has evolved to respond to the changing threat, and, in particular, as obsolete equipment has

been retired and modern systems have been fielded.

A new facilities master plan (dated July 31, 1997) has been submitted to the Committee during January of 1998, and this document is intended to serve as a 20-year plan. The Committee commends United States Forces Korea (USFK) on this Theater Master Plan, which is designed to link all proposed near-term capital investments with the long-term strategic outlook. This process has promise for bringing more discipline into the programming and

budgeting cycles.

The Committee is disappointed that there is still a large inventory of inadequate facilities being utilized by U.S. forces stationed in Korea. Two major inhibitors to programming and budgeting for replacement facilities have been constant change in the force structure mix and attendant relocation of units among installations. This has had the effect of making it very likely that a new unit moving into existing installation will not find barracks and/or support facilities that meet its requirements. The Committee supports the introduction of modern weapons and equipment as a force multiplier, and recognizes that such changes will drive facilities requirements to some extent. However, many force structure changes and relocations have been made at the direction of Army leadership, and have been modified by changes in leadership with insufficient attention to facilities requirements.

The current policy of USFK is to minimize the number of installations, to move out of the cities, to build around "hub" installations, and to privatize infrastructure operations where feasible. The Committee supports these efforts, but is concerned about the potential for significant and adverse facilities impacts. The Committee believes that force stability is key to ensuring that soldiers and airmen are provided adequate facilities in which to live and work.

The Committee will expect to be kept fully informed of modifications to the Theater Master Plan (dated July 31, 1997), and will expect any modifications to include detailed listing of any proposed unit relocations and equipment changes as well as cost/benefit analyses of such relocations and changes. Further, the Committee will expect that all future requests for construction projects on the Korean peninsula will be in support of this Theater Master Plan,

and that any change, adjustment, deviation, or exception from this Theater Master Plan will be justified in detail to the Military Construction Subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee.

#### PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987, (Public Law 100–119), the term "Program, Project and Activity" will continue to be defined as the appropriation account.

#### PLANNING AND BUDGETING

The Committee relies on officials in the Department of Defense to provide the most honest assessment of competing facilities needs, based on the most informed judgment of military requirements. The Committee understands and supports the process the Department employs to identify requirements, to prioritize those requirements, and to live within budgetary constraints. It is the view of the Committee that the best way to accomplish this task is to have a disciplined long-range planning process, with annual adjustments to meet changing circumstances. The Committee supports efforts within the Services and within the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to formulate and present a coherent Future Years Defense Plan at the project level of detail, and encourages efforts to reconcile annual adjustments in this plan.

#### METRIC CONVERSION

The Committee directs the Comptroller of the Department of Defense to assure that any Form 1390/1391 which is presented as justification in metric measurement shall include parenthetically the English measurement.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$714,377,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	790,876,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	780,599,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	+66,222,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	$-10,\!277,\!000$

The Committee recommends a total of \$780,599,000 for Military Construction, Army for fiscal year 1999. This is a decrease of \$10,277,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and an increase of \$66,222,000 above the appropriation for 1998.

#### CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

The budget request proposes that a total of \$125,300,000 should be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Army" account for chemical demilitarization facilities. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program. It is the Committee's view that this is an ac-

counting decision, and that it will have no impact on the operation of the program or on administrative overhead expenses within the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

#### CALIFORNIA—FORT IRWIN: DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS

The Committee is aware of serious safety issues caused by the publicly accessible road network used by the National Training Center at Fort Irwin, California, as well as the operational constraints imposed by this road network. The Committee understands that there is an increased volume of traffic associated with the installation, and that a deteriorating safety situation has caused numerous accidents, including fatalities. The Secretary of the Army should review this situation and consider recommending that these roads be designated as defense access roads, and report his findings to the Committee by September 11, 1998.

## FLORIDA—MIAMI: SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS AND LAND ACQUISITION

At the time the U.S. Southern Command (SOUTHCOM) relocated from Panama, the Committee received assurances that no Military Construction or Family Housing investment would be required to accomplish the relocation, and that any and all facilities would be acquired by lease. The budget request includes \$26,700,000 to purchase a facility adjacent to the Miami International Airport that is currently being leased as the SOUTHCOM headquarters. The building was occupied under an interim lease, and the Army signed a final lease on February 27, 1998. This final lease provides for a firm term of ten years, with annual payments subject to the availability of funds, and the lease does not include a buy-out provision.

In the aftermath of the Khobar Towers and Oklahoma City incidents, the Defense Special Weapons Agency conducted a force protection assessment and determined that the existing leased building requires a 19 acre security buffer. The Army then determined that acquisition of such a buffer would require approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). OMB determined that the combined leases for the building and land met its criteria and policy considerations requiring either purchase or a capital lease. Therefore, OMB directed the Army to include a budget request for

the purchase of both the building and the land.

This is a special case, in that the headquarters building is not located on a military installation. The Committee is concerned that the Army decided on this location, lacking a security perimeter that would have been inherent to any existing military installation. The selected location also lacked supporting facilities such as unaccompanied housing, family housing, commissary, child care, medical facilities, dependent schools, and morale and welfare facilities. Further, planned construction of an additional runway at Miami International Airport will put the leased building in the glide path 100 percent of the time (a violation of Department of Defense guidelines).

The Committee recommends no funds for acquisition of either the leased building or additional land. The Secretary of Defense is directed to report by January 15, 1999, on plans for acquisition of leased land for a security buffer, if required, as well as on consider-

ation of relocating the SOUTHCOM headquarters from this leased location to an existing military installation.

# MASSACHUSETTS—WESTOVER AIR RESERVE BASE: MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION

The Committee is concerned about potential slippage in the Army's plan to provide a Military Entrance Processing Station at Westover Air Reserve Base. Therefore, the Army is directed to accelerate the design of this project, and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2000 budget request.

#### NEW JERSEY—FORT MONMOUTH: TRAFFIC STUDY

The Committee is concerned over reports of severe traffic congestion at the main gate to Fort Monmouth, at the intersection of New Jersey Route 35 and Tinton Avenue. The Army is directed to report to the Committee by January 15, 1999 on traffic impacts outside the main gate, and on the need for on-post and off-post traffic improvements.

#### ITALY—CAMP DARBY

The Secretary of the Army is directed to report to the Committee by December 1, 1998 on the current and future use of Camp Darby, Italy. This report should include the status of all NATO Security Investment Program funds to be expended at this installation.

#### KOREA—CAMP CASEY: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER

The Committee is aware that the existing physical fitness center at Camp Casey is antiquated and under-sized to support an authorized fiscal year 1998 military population of 6,069. The existing facility is a 37-year-old quonset hut structure, requiring constant maintenance, is energy inefficient, and at 25,644 square feet is severely undersized to serve the soldiers stationed at Camp Casey. The Army is directed to accelerate design and include this physical fitness center in the fiscal year 2000 budget request.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation Fiscal year 1999 estimate Committee recommendation in the bill	\$683,666,000 468,150,000 570,643,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	-113,023,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	+102,493,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$570,643,000 for Military Construction, Navy for fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$102,493,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$113,023,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year

# CALIFORNIA—CORONA FLEET ANALYSIS DETACHMENT: MEASUREMENT SCIENCE LABORATORY

The Navy is directed to accelerate the design of the Measurement Science Laboratory, and to include funding for this project in its fiscal year 2000 budget request.

#### CALIFORNIA—PORT HUENEME: COMBAT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION LAB

The Navy is directed to accelerate the design of the Combat Systems Integration Lab at the Port Hueneme Division of the Naval Surface Warfare Center, and to include funding for this project in its fiscal year 2000 budget request.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$701,855,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	454,810,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	550,475,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	-151,380,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	+95,665,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$550,475,000 for Military Construction, Air Force for fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$95,665,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$151,380,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 1998.

# KANSAS—MCCONNELL AFB: KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT #3

The Air Force is directed to accelerate the design of the KC-135 Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit #3 project at McConnell AFB, and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2000 budget request.

# NEW YORK—ROME LABORATORY: CONSOLIDATED INTELLIGENCE AND RECONNAISSANCE LABORATORY

The Committee is aware of the planned construction of a consolidated Intelligence and Reconnaissance Laboratory at the Air Force's Research Laboratory in Rome, New York, which will create operational and economic efficiencies in place of current structures available for this mission. The Air Force is directed to accelerate the design of this project, and to include the required construction funding in its fiscal year 2000 budget request.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$646,342,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	491,675,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	611,075,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	-35,267,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	+119,400,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$611,075,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide for fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$119,400,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1999 and a decrease of \$35,267,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 1998.

## CHEMICAL WEAPONS DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

The budget request includes a total of \$125,300,000 for the following funding increments for the chemical weapons demilitarization program for fiscal year 1999:

State	Installation	Project	Amount
Indiana Indiana Maryland Maryland	Newport AAP Newport AAP Aberdeen PG Aberdeen PG	Ammunition demilitarization supportAmmunition demilitarization facility (Phase I)	\$16,500,000 27,500,000 2,000,000 26,500,000 1,850,000 50,950,000
Total			125,300,000

The budget request proposes that these amounts should be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Army" account. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be apprior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program.

The following chart displays the scope of the military construction investment in the overall chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS

[Current year dollars in millions/fiscal year]

Project	FY97 and prior	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	Total
PM-Chem Demil Training Facility	16.1							16.1
Tooele, UT Facility	198.0							198.0
Anniston, AL Facility	150.0	9.9		7.0				166.9
Depot Support	14.3							14.3
Umatilla, OR Facility	76.0	57.43	51.0	9.0				193.43
Depot Support	11.2							11.2
Pine Bluff AR Facility	49.0		16.5	72.0	17.0			154.5
Depot Support		10.0						10.0
Pueblo, CO 1 Facility				12.0	52.0	96.5	34.0	194.5
Depot Support	6.3							6.3
Blue Grass, KY Facility				12.0	52.0	92.0	30.8	186.8
Depot Support				11.2				11.2
Aberdeen, MD Facility			26.5	58.5	85.0	14.5		184.5
Depot Support			1.85					1.85
Newport, IN Facility			27.5	60.75	87.5	13.8		189.55
Depot Support			2.0					2.0
Planning & Design		9.2						114.5
Total	626.2	86.53	125.35	242.45	293.5	216.8	64.8	1,665.63

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Funding requirement may change pending assessment of Assembled Chemical Weapon Assessment Program in consonance with Public Law 104-208.

The following chart displays the timetable and the milestones for completion of the chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM TIMETABLE AND MILESTONES

Location	Start of construction	Start of systemization	Operations
Johnston Atoll <sup>1</sup>			3QFY90-4QFY00
Tooele, UT			4QFY96-4QFY03
Anniston, AL	3QFY97	2QFY00	2QFY02-1QFY06
Umatilla, OR	3QFY97	3QFY00	2QFY02-3QFY05
Pine Bluff, AR	4QFY98	3QFY01	2QFY03-3QFY06
Pueblo, CO 2	On Hold		On Hand
Blue Grass, KY 2	On Hold		
Aberdeen, MD 3	1QFY99	3QFY02	2QFY04-1QFY05

#### CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM TIMETABLE AND MILESTONES—Continued

Location	Start of construction	Start of systemization	Operations
Newport, IN <sup>3</sup>	1QFY99	4zQFY02	3QFY04-1QFY05

#### ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

In future budget submissions, the Committee will expect project-level information on the Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) to be presented in tabular form, rather than in Form 1391 level of detail.

#### ENERGY RECOVERY CONTROLLER UNITS

The Committee is aware of the possibility of significant energy cost savings through the use of energy recovery controller units in military family housing units. The Department is directed to explore this technology, and to evaluate the savings to investment ratios and payback periods related to the possible use of such units in the San Diego, California, area. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report the findings of this evaluation by January 15, 1999.

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Two years ago, the Committee initiated and appropriated \$5,000,000 for the Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund in order to demonstrate its support for privatization. The Committee notes that the Program and Financing statement for the Fund shows that the full \$5,000,000 available in this account will be obligated during fiscal year 1998. The Committee is concerned that the market for private development of barracks is minimal and that the Department has not yet identified any requirements against the \$5,000,000 appropriated in fiscal year 1997. The Secretary of Defense is to report to the Committee by December 1, 1998 on the progress and feasibility of continuing this initiative.

#### OVERVIEW

The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1996, P.L. 104-106, established new authorities to increase the use of the private sector and capital to improve unaccompanied housing. The authorities include: direct loans and loan guarantees to private developers; leasing of new housing; investments in nongovernmental entities; rental guarantees; differential lease payments and conveyance or lease of existing property and facilities.

The Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund will be used to build or renovate unaccompanied housing, mixing or matching the various authorities contained in the authorization, and utilize private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. This fund is to contain appropriated and transferred funds from military construction accounts, and the total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not ex-

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Full-scale operations began 20FY94.
 <sup>2</sup> Schedule on-hold as directed by Public Law 104-208 pending technology evaluation by Program Manager for Assembled Chemical Weapon Assessment.

3 Schedule represents employment of neutralization-based technology. Start of construction milestones represents the "start of design/build"

ceed \$150,000,000. Sources for transfers into the funds are solely to be derived from funds appropriated for the acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing. Transfers into the fund are authorized contingent upon a 30-day notification by the Secretary of Defense to the appropriate committees of Congress. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are to be deposited into this Fund, and any use of the Fund is subject to annual appropriations. The Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund is to be administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations and the authority to enter into contracts and partnerships and to make investments shall expire on September 30, 2000.

#### REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee notes Section 124 of the General Provisions of this bill requires the Secretary of Defense to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for unaccompanied military personnel hous-

ing.

The Service Secretary concerned may not enter into any contract until after the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date the Secretary concerned submits written notice of the nature and terms of the contract to the appropriate Committees of Congress. To clarify existing reporting requirements, this 21-day notification requirement applies to any project, regardless of whether it is financed entirely by transfer of funds into the Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund, or it is fully financed within funds available in the Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund, or it is funded by combining transferred funds with funds available in the Fund.

Budget justification documents are to display project and administrative costs. No transfer of appropriated funds into the account may take place until after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice and justification for the transfer to the appropriate Committees of Congress. The Appropriations Committee expects to receive prior notifi-

cation of all such transfers of funds.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Fiscal year 1998:	
Appropriation	\$460,533,000
Supplemental Appropriation	3,700,000
Total	464,233,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	179,529,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	309,025,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 total appropriation	-155,208,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	+129,496,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$309,025,000 for Military Construction, Reserve Components for fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$129,496,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$155,208,000 below the total appropriation for fiscal year 1998.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve Component is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of Military Construction, as follows:

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard Air National Guard Army Reserve Naval Reserve	\$47,675,000 34,761,000 71,287,000 15,271,000	\$70,338,000 97,701,000 71,894,000 33,721,000
Air Force Reserve	10,535,000	35,371,000

#### ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

#### ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT—BACKLOG

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army and the Director of the Army National Guard to continue to make a joint report annually on the current backlog of facilities requirements of the Army National Guard to be submitted concurrently with the annual budget request.

## ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT—ARMORY INFRASTRUCTURE

The Secretary of the Army, the Director of the National Guard Bureau, and the Director of the Army National Guard are directed to continue to report jointly to the Committee by January 1, 1999 on the status of armory infrastructure.

#### CALIFORNIA—LOS ANGELES/AZUSA: READINESS CENTER

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to report by January 15, 1999 on the plan and schedule for the consolidation and replacement of existing armories in Los Angeles, California.

#### WEST VIRGINIA—CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD): READINESS CENTER

Funding for this project was appropriated in fiscal year 1998. The project was proposed for line-item veto, and was re-submitted in the budget request for fiscal year 1999. Subsequently, Congress overrode the proposed line-item veto. Therefore, the project is fully funded and no further funding is required.

### AIR NATIONAL GUARD

### UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION

Within funds provided for Unspecified Minor Construction, the Committee directs the Air National Guard to carry out projects to upgrade the control tower and to upgrade airfield facilities at the Stanly County Airport in North Carolina.

# ARMY RESERVE

# UTAH—SALT LAKE CITY: U.S. ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP

The fiscal year 1998 appropriations bill provided \$12,714,000 for this relocation effort. However, the project was proposed for lineitem veto, and was re-submitted in the budget request for fiscal year 1999. Subsequently, Congress overrode the proposed line-item veto. Therefore, the amount included in the budget request is not required, but an increment above the fiscal year 1998 appropriation is required. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$5,076,000 as the second phase of funding for this project, to match the total authorized amount of \$17,790,000.

#### FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PLAN

It is the Committee's view that section 123 of Public Law 104–196 constitutes a continuing permanent requirement for the Army National Guard and the Air National Guard to present the Future Years Defense Plan to Congress concurrent with the President's budget submission for each fiscal year. The Committee will expect subsequent submissions of the Future Years Defense Plan to include explanatory notes justifying any modification of prior year plans.

# NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$152,600,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	185,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	169,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	+16,400,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	-16,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$169,000,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (NSIP). This is a decrease of \$16,000,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1999 and an increase of \$16,400,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 1998.

For 1999, the NATO nations have agreed on a funding level of approximately \$730,000,000. Of this amount, the U.S. requirement is based on a cost share which averages about 26%. In addition to the recommended appropriation of \$169,000,000, approximately \$11,000,000 is expected to be available from recoupments from prior year U.S. funded work, and from deobligation of NATO funds for previously obligated projects that were reduced in scope or canceled.

The Committee continues to support full U.S. participation in the NSIP program. Recent expenditures do not support fiscal year 1999 expenditures as foreseen by the Department of Defense. The foreign currency fluctuation has increased the value of the U.S. dollar against most other NATO nation's currencies. Also, additional recoupments of the U.S. prefinanced funds should be realized. Therefore, the Committee has reduced the budget request by \$16,000,000 and notes that this is a \$16,400,000 increase above fiscal year 1998. This funding should be sufficient to satisfy the Secretary's commitments to NATO.

The Department of Defense is directed to continue to report to the Committees on Appropriations, on a quarterly basis, the following information:

(1) NATO nations share of construction costs based on fund authorizations;

(2) NATO nations shares of procurement costs based on fund

authorizations; and

(3) A listing of all obligations incurred that quarter broken out by infrastructure category and procurement category. This listing should show the total project costs, the U.S. cost share and all other NATO nations cost shares.

#### NATO EXPANSION

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds. In addition, the Committee's intent is that Section 110 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

#### Family Housing

#### OVERVIEW

The need for military family housing has changed with the allvolunteer structure of the force. In the mid-1950s forty-two percent of the force was married, compared to sixty-one percent today. The percentage of service members with families will continue to grow, and the nature of an all-volunteer force implies greater expectations for the availability, size and amenities of family housing. At the same time, the Department is faced with a changing military environment due to overseas reductions, domestic base closures, major force reductions, and increased deployments.

Today, the family housing program is even more important because it provides a quality of life incentive which attracts and retains dedicated individuals to serve in the military. However, the housing deficiencies are a severe disincentive to reenlistment. Testimony before the Committee states that it costs over \$34,200 to recruit and train and enlist a member of the Army for the first assignment. This investment is lost each time a soldier must be replaced. The Committee has no question that housing is directly

linked to readiness, morale and retention.

While this Committee has focused on the need for adequate family housing over the years, resources have been scarce. The family housing crisis exists today due to the majority of housing in the Department's inventory being substandard; high cost areas where housing deficits exist; and problems young families are facing who

cannot afford to live in local communities.

DOD policy is that married couples will live off-base when the economy can support them, and about two-thirds of all military families do reside off-base. Where there is sufficient affordable housing in the community and commuting distances are not over one hour, most of these families are doing well. However, 12 percent of military families living in civilian communities are in substandard housing. This is often the case when rents are excessive or a family can only afford to live in distant, isolated, and sometimes unsafe neighborhoods. This is occurring more often because

housing allowances are covering only 80 percent of the cost of civilian housing, on average. Many younger families only have one car and are faced with driving distances of over an hour to the installation. In some instances, families are choosing to remain separated simply because suitable, affordable housing is not available at a new assignment.

The Department of Defense has a total of 313,000 on-base housing units in its inventory, with an average age of 36 years. Two-thirds of the inventory is over 30 years old and requires a substantial annual investment to meet maintenance requirements. Over the years, the majority of these homes have gone without adequate maintenance and repair. And over fifty percent of the inventory, or 187,810 units, is in need of major improvements or replacement at a total cost of \$15,064,808,000.

Unsuitable units require a major investment in maintenance and repair to correct deteriorated infrastructure, provide basic living standards and meet contemporary code requirements for electrical and mechanical systems, and for energy efficiency. Examples provided to the Committee of a typical scenario military families face include: severe health and safety deficiencies such as electrical systems and water pipes needing replacement; non-working or inefficient heating and cooling systems; nails coming through the ceilings and floors; kitchen cabinets water-logged and sinking; ceiling and wall paint chipped and peeling; screens with holes in them; doors coming apart; malfunctioning smoke detectors; light fixtures broken, and stoves and ovens with elements not working. The current backlog of deferred maintenance and repair totals in excess of \$2,780,000,000. When housing units are not adequately maintained, eventually they must be closed and abandoned or demolished. Families who could have been housed in these units must then live off-base. In turn, this creates an additional expense for payment of housing allowances.

Aside from the problems confronting the current inventory, the Department estimates a new construction deficit of 51,330 units at a cost of \$5,309,274,000. It will be necessary to use many different approaches to help meet the current family housing need. The challenge is for a sustained overall commitment, at funding levels that will reduce the backlog of inadequate houses, reduce the housing deficits, and increase the quality of living conditions in a reasonable period of time. The Department estimates it will take over \$20,374,082,000 to correct the existing problem.

The following chart provides a Service breakout of the current family housing deficit, both in units and in cost of new construction, replacement, improvements and deferred maintenance and repair:

## **DEFICITS (CURRENT PROJECTIONS)**

[Dollars in thousands]

	New construction	Replacement	Improvement	Grand total
Army:				
Number of Units	10,322	87,027		97,349
Costs	\$1,300,000	\$5,700,000		\$7,000,000
Navy:				
Number of Units	15,000	4,500	22,800	42,300

#### DEFICITS (CURRENT PROJECTIONS)—Continued

[Dollars in thousands]

	New construction	Replacement	Improvement	Grand total
Costs	\$681,100	\$695,800	\$1,447,800	\$2,824,700
Air Force:				
Number of Units	16,000	30,000	31,000	77,000
Costs	\$2.016.000	\$3,780,000	\$2,480,000	\$8.276,000
Marine Corps:	, ,,	, ,	, , ,	, ,
Number of Units	10.008	511	11.972	22.491
Costs	\$1.312.174	\$114.639	\$846,569	\$2,273,382
Total DoD:	<del>+-,,-</del> :	Ţ ·,	*****	+-,
Number of Units	51.330	122.038	65.772	239.140
Costs	\$5,309,274	\$10,290,439	\$4,774,369	\$20,374,082

#### CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW

The Committee is concerned over the fiscal year 1999 budget request for family housing new construction and construction improvements of \$592,846,000. Housing continues to be a top priority, yet the Department's budget represents a reduction of \$262,274,000 or 31%, from the fiscal year 1998 appropriation for new construction and construction improvements. The Committee strongly believes it is imperative that construction funding levels must be maintained, along with any privatization efforts, to help resolve the serious family housing deficits. The Committee recommends total funding of \$642,196,000 for family housing construction and improvements for fiscal year 1999, an increase of \$49,350,000 above the budget request. However, of this amount \$235,438,000 has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

#### NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 1999 request is \$270,103,000 to build 1666 units of new family housing for all Services. This is \$166,686,000 or 38 percent, under the fiscal year 1998 enacted level. The Committee has approved all requested projects for new construction. In addition, the Committee has recommended an additional \$30,550,000 to construct 205 units of new family housing. The total appropriation for new construction is \$300,653,000. However, of this amount \$105,484,000 has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund. Details of the Committee's recommendations for new construction are provided in this report under the individual component accounts and the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund. The Committee expects that none of the approved projects will be reduced in scope. It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day no-

It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day notification from the Secretary of Defense, and approval of the Committee, funds appropriated for a new construction project may be transferred to the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for the purpose of a private sector pilot project at the same location.

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

A total of \$322,743,000 has been requested for post-acquisition construction for all services to improve 4028 housing units. This is a decrease of \$95,588,000, or 23 percent, from the fiscal year 1998

enacted level. Post-acquisition construction is focused on modernizing existing units that are uneconomical to repair. In addition, the Committee has provided an additional \$18,800,000 for construction improvement projects which are listed in this report under the individual component accounts, to improve an additional units. The total appropriation for post-acquisition construction is \$341,543,000 and will improve 4303 units of family housing. However, of this amount \$129,954,000 has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day notification from the Secretary of Defense, and approval of the Committee, funds appropriated for a construction improvement project may be transferred to the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for the purpose of a private sector pilot project at the same

location.

The Committee continues the restriction on the amount invested in improving foreign source housing units. The three-year limitation on overseas units is \$35,000. If the components intend to program improvements to specific units which exceed \$35,000 over a period of three years, total funding should be requested in one year. The justification for each unit should identify all improvements and major maintenance work done in the past three years, and all improvements and major maintenance planned in the following three years.

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 1999 request for operation and maintenance expenses totals \$2,846,920,000, a decrease of \$133,110,000 from the fiscal year 1998 appropriation. The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,835,093,000 for fiscal year 1999. These accounts provide for annual expenditures for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance and miscellaneous expenses. Of the total request for operation and maintenance, \$1,272,567,000 is for maintenance and repair of existing housing, a reduction of \$68,086,000 from fiscal year 1998 levels.

The Committee directs that any savings from foreign currency re-estimations in the family housing operation and maintenance accounts be applied for maintenance of existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on the allo-

cation of this savings by December 1, 1998.

Expenditures from this account for general and flag officer quarters are to be reported in accordance with the guidelines previously established and reiterated later in this report. The Committee also continues the direction that the details of all other expenditures from this account which exceed \$15,000 per unit, per year for major maintenance and repair of non-general and flag officer quarters be included as part of the justification material. The general provision limiting obligations from this account to no more than 20 percent of the total in the last two months of the fiscal year is included in this year's bill.

The Committee continues the restriction on the transfer of funds between the operation and maintenance accounts. The limitation is ten percent to all primary accounts and subaccounts. Such transfers are to be reported to the Committee within thirty days of such action.

#### GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS

The existing reporting requirements for general and flag officer quarters continue in full force and effect, in order to control expenditures for high cost quarters. The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that the total amount of all obligations for maintenance and repair (excluding operations) on each general or flag officer quarters is limited to \$25,000 per year, unless specifically included in the annual budget justification material. This continues the policy initiated in 1984 and developed and elaborated over several years, to ensure that separate controls are established for orderly planning and programming to accomplish this work.

Recognizing the uncertainties involved in accurately forecasting "change in occupancy" work, the Committee continues the following previously established notification requirement. The Committee must be notified when maintenance and repair costs for a unit will exceed the amount submitted in the budget justification by 25 percent or \$5,000, whichever is less. The Committee must also be notified when maintenance and repair costs will exceed \$25,000 for a unit not requested in the budget justification.

Notifications of each proposed expenditure must be submitted over the signature of the Service Secretary for case-by-case review and approval. Each Service is directed to continue to limit out-of-cycle submissions to one per year, except for situations which are justified as emergencies or safety-related.

#### LEASING REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee continues the reporting requirement for both domestic and foreign leases. For domestic leases (not funded by the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund), the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter which exceed \$12,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of any new or renewal lease exceeding \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation), 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

# EXCLUSION OF ASBESTOS AND LEAD-BASED PAINT REMOVAL FROM MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR LIMITS

The Committee continues the requirement of an after-the-fact notification where asbestos and/or lead-based paint removal costs cause the maintenance and repair thresholds of \$15,000 for a military family housing unit, or \$25,000 for a General or Flag Officer Quarters, to be exceeded. The notification shall include work, scope, cost break-out and other details pertinent to asbestos and/

or lead-based paint removal work and shall be reported on a semiannual basis.

#### REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) also apply to new housing construction projects and to improvement projects over \$2,000,000.

#### FAMILY HOUSING—FISCAL YEAR 2000 BUDGET SUBMISSION

The Committee directs the Comptroller of Defense to thoroughly review the Service Component's, particularly the Navy's, budget submissions for fiscal year 2000 to ensure that all family housing maintenance and construction improvements are funded in the appropriate sub-accounts.

#### FAMILY HOUSING MASTER PLANS

The individual components are in the process of developing family housing master plans to meet the goal of eliminating the inadequate housing inventory by 2010 using the combination of traditional construction, privatization and demolition. The Air Force intends to have its Family Housing Master Plan completed by December 1998. The Committee will expect to be advised as these plans develop.

## FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$1,337,868,000 1,208,173,000 1,180,537,000
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	-157.331.000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	-27.636.000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,180,537,000 for Family Housing, Army for fiscal year 1999. This is a decrease of \$27,636,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$157,331,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 1998. However, a total of \$41,400,000 has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$41,700,000 for new construction, instead of \$70,100,000, as requested, as shown below. The Committee notes that projects totalling \$41,400,000 are funded under the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Location/Project	Number of Units	Requested	Recommended
Army:			
Alabama—Redstone Arsenal	118	14,000,000	14,000,000
Hawaii—Schofield Barracks	64	14,700,000	14,700,000
North Carolina—Fort Bragg	(170)	19,800,000	10
Texas—Fort Hood	(154)	21,600,000	10
Virginia—Fort Lee	80	0	13,000,000
Total, Army	262	70,100,000	41,700,000

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}\,{\rm Projects}$  funded under DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following project is to be accomplished within the additional amount provided for construction improvements:

Location/Project	Number of units	Recommended
Kentucky—Fort Campbell	104	8,800,000

## FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 1998:	
Appropriation	\$1,370,336,000
Supplemental appropriation	18,100,000
Total	1,388,436,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	1,196,083,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,045,750,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 total appropriation	-342,686,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	-150,333,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,045,750,000 for Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps for fiscal year 1999. This is a decrease of \$150,333,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$342,686,000 below the total appropriation for fiscal year 1998. However, a total of \$160,333,000 has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

## CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$29,125,000 for new construction, instead of \$59,504,000, as requested, as shown below. The Committee notes that a project in the amount of \$30,379,000 is funded under DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Location/Project	Number of units	Requested	Recommended
Navy: California-Lemoore Naval Air Station	(162)	30,379,000	10
Hawaii-Naval Complex, Oahu	150	29,125,000	29,125,000
Total, Navy	150	59,504,000	29,125,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Project funded under DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following project is to be accomplished within the additional amount provided for construction improvements:

Location/Project	Number of units	Recommended
California—Camp Pendleton	171	10,000,000

The Committee notes that \$129,954,000 in requested construction improvements has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund. Those projects are listed under that section of this report.

# WASHINGTON—NAVAL STATION PUGET SOUND, EVERETT: REAL PROPERTY CONVEYANCE

Section 125 of this bill provides an appropriation of \$6,000,000 in proceeds from the sale of land and family housing units at Paine Field. This funding will be used to acquire additional housing units for Naval Station Everett, as part of the follow-on Public Private Venture project at Everett.

## FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1998:	
Appropriation	\$1,125,943,000
Supplemental appropriation	2,400,000
Total	1,128,343,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	1,016,030,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	993,084,000
Comparison with:	, ,
Fiscal year 1998 total appropriation	-135,259,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	

The Committee recommends a total of \$993,084,000 for Family Housing, Air Force for fiscal year 1999. This is a decrease of \$22,946,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$135,259,000 below the total appropriation for fiscal year 1998. However, a total of \$33,705,000 has been funded under the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

## CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$124,344,000 for new construction, instead of \$140,499,000 as requested, as shown below. The Committee notes that project totalling \$33,705,000 are funded under the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Location/Project	Number of units	Requested	Recommended
Air Force:			
Alabama—Maxwell AFB	143	16,300,000	16,300,000
Alaska—Eielson AFB	46	12,932,000	12,932,000
California—Edwards AFB	48	12,580,000	12,580,000
California—Vandenberg AFB	95	18,499,000	18,499,000
Delaware—Dover AFB	(55)	8,998,000	10
Florida—MacDill AFB	48	7,609,000	7,609,000
Florida—Patrick AFB	(46)	9,692,000	10
Florida—Tyndall AFB	122	14.500.000	14,500,000
Nebraska—Offutt AFB		900,000	900,000
Nebraska—Offutt AFB		870.000	870,000
Nebraska—Offutt AFB	90	12.212.000	12.212.000
Nevada—Nellis AFB	60	0	10.550.000
New Mexico—Kirtland AFB	37	6.400.000	6,400,000
Ohio—Wright Patterson AFB	(40)	5.600.000	10
Texas—Dyess AFB	(64)	9.415.000	10
Texas—Sheppard AFB	65	0,110,000	7,000,000
Washington—Fairchild AFB		1.692.000	1.692.000
Washington—Fairchild AFB	14	2.300.000	2.300.000
Washington—Lanching ALD	14	2,300,000	2,300,000
Total, Air Force	768	140,499,000	124,344,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Project funded under DDD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The request of \$789,995,000 has been reduced by \$4,791,000, as contained in the House-passed authorization bill. It is the Commit-

tee's intent that the appropriation of \$388,659,000 for the maintenance of real property not be reduced.

### FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$37,674,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	37,244,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	37,244,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	-430,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$37,244,000 for Family Housing, Defense-wide for fiscal year 1999. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and a decrease of \$430,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 1998.

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$0
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	7,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	242,438,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	+242,438,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	+235.438.000

The Committee recommends a total of \$242,438,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 1999. This is an increase of \$235,438,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and an increase of \$242,438,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 1998. Of the total appropriation, the Committee recommends a limitation of \$7,000,000 on total administrative expenses of the Housing Revitalization Support Office, as requested. In addition, the Committee recommends appropriations of \$235,438,000 under the Family Housing Improvement Fund rather than the Family Housing, Construction accounts as requested for those projects that the Department notified the Committee on May 15, 1998 that it intends to execute under privatization authorities. The projects are as follows:

Service, State and Installation	New construction	Construction improvements	Total
Army:			
North Carolina—Fort Bragg			
Texas—Fort Hood	21,600,000		
Subtotal Army	41,400,000	0	41,400,000
Navy:			
California:			
Lemoore NAS	30,379,000	2,089,000	
Monterey NPGS		7,016,000	
San Diego CNB		24,798,000	
San Diego CNB		4,851,000	
Connecticut—New London NSB		6,321,000	
Illinois—Great Lakes CNB		12,632,000	
Maryland:			
Annapolis USNA		4,340,000	
Annapolis USNA		4,304,000	
New Jersey—Earle NWS		6,723,000	
Pennsylvania—Willow Grove NAS		598,000	
Texas—Corpus Christi NAS		7,558,000	
Virginia—Norfolk PWC		8,010,000	

Service, State and Installation	New construction	Construction improvements	Total
Washington—Whidbey Island NAS		9,764,000	
Subtotal, Navy	30,379,000	99,004,000	129,383,000
Marine Corps: North Carolina			
Camp Lejeune MCB		, ,	
Subtotal, Marine Corps	0	30,950,000	30,950,000
Subtotal, Navy and Marine Corps	30,379,000	129,954,000	160,333,000
Air Force:			
Delaware—Dover AFB	8,998,000		
Florida—Patrick AFB	9,692,000		
Ohio—Wright-Patterson AFB			
Texas—Dyess AFB	9,415,000		
Subtotal, Air Force	33,705,000	0	33,705,000
Grand Total	105,484,000	129,954,000	235,438,000

#### **OVERVIEW**

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (P.L. 104–106) addressed the family housing crisis by authorizing a five year private sector pilot project to replace or renovate approximately 200,000 units of family housing within the United States, its territories and possessions, and in Puerto Rico, but not overseas. Authority was granted to: guarantee mortgage payments and rental contracts to developers as incentives to build family housing; authorize commercial-style lease agreements for family housing; and engage in joint ventures with developers to construct family housing on government property.

The Family Housing Improvement Fund is used to build or renovate family housing, mixing or matching various authorities in the authorization, and utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The Fund is to contain appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts, and the total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed \$850,000,000. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are to be deposited into this Fund, and any use of the Fund is subject to annual appropriations. The Family Housing Improvement Fund is to be administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations. This authority to enter into contracts and partnerships and to make investments shall expire on September 30, 2000.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

The Committee continues its intent that the sole source of funds available for planning, administrative, and oversight costs relating to military family housing privatization initiatives be provided from the appropriations contained in this account. Administrative costs have been limited to \$7,000,000 for fiscal year 1999.

#### REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Budget justification documents are to continue to display project and administrative costs. In addition, projects slated for Public-Private Ventures are to be requested under the Family Housing Improvement Fund instead of the Family Housing, Construction accounts.

The Committee notes Section 124 of the General Provisions of this bill which requires the Secretary of Defense to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing.

The Service Secretary concerned may not enter into any contract until after the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date the Secretary concerned submits written notice of the nature and terms

of the contract to the appropriate committees of Congress.

To clarify existing reporting requirements, this 21-day notification requirement applies to any project, regardless of whether it is financed entirely by transfer of funds into the Family Housing Improvement Fund, or it is fully financed within funds available in the Family Housing Improvement Fund, or it is funded by combining transferred funds with funds available in the Family Housing Improvement Fund.

In addition, no transfer of appropriated funds into the account may take place until after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice and justification for the transfer to the appropriate committees of Congress. The Appropriations Committee expects to receive prior notifi-

cation of all such transfers of funds.

## HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	\$0
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	12,800,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	7,500,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	+7,500,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	-5 300 000

The Committee recommends \$7,500,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. This is a decrease of \$5,300,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1999, and an increase of \$7,500,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 1998. Requirements for fiscal year 1998 were financed by revenue and prior year carryover.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which finances a program for providing assistance to homeowners by reducing their losses incident to the disposal of their homes when military installations at or near where they are serving or employed are ordered to be closed or the scope of operations is reduced. The Fund was established in recognition of the fact that base closure and reduction actions can have serious economic effects on local communities. The Fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

The total estimated requirements for fiscal year 1999 are estimated at \$109,735,000 and will be funded with appropriations, revenue from sales of acquired property and prior year unobligated

balances. The Committee has reduced the budget request by \$5,300,000 based on estimated unobligated balances at the end of fiscal year 1999.

#### Base Realignment and Closure

#### OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of \$17,807,526,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure program for fiscal years 1990 through 1998. In the bill for fiscal year 1999, the Committee is recommending total funding of \$1,730,704,000 under two accounts, as requested. These funds are necessary to ensure closure schedules can be met and anticipated savings will be realized. In addition, funding is essential for accelerated cleanup which is necessary for reuse of surplus properties and future job creation.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function and by base. The Committee, in recognizing the complexities of realigning and closing bases and providing for environmental restoration, has provided such flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor the program execution of the Services and to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of realignment and closures along with environmental restoration.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure including amounts recommended for fiscal year 1999:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE [Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1999]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1997	Fiscal year 1998 en- acted <sup>3</sup>	Fiscal year 1999 rec- ommended	Total
Part I	\$2,672,830,000	NA	NA	\$2,672,830,000
Part II 1	5,157,562,000	\$116,754,000	NA	5,274,316,000
Part III 2	5,971,933,000	768,702,000	\$433,464,000	7,174,099,000
Part IV	1,944,347,000	1,175,398,000	1,297,240,000	4,416,985,000
Total	15,746,672,000	2,060,854,000	1,730,704,000	19,538,230,000

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of \$17,807,526,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 1998. Within this total, the Department has allocated \$4,984,400,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee is concerned that the design and cost of environmental restoration efforts should be tailored to match the proposed re-use of an installation in order to assure that costs are reasonable and affordable. Therefore, the Committee continues to recommend statutory language to establish a ceiling on the level of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes transfer of \$133,000,000 from "Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense."

<sup>2</sup> Includes: Rescission of \$507,692,000 (P.L. 103–211); rescission of \$32,000,000 (P.L. 104–6).

<sup>3</sup> Includes rescissions enacted in Public Law 105–18, as follows: Part II—\$35,391,000; Part III—\$75,638,000; and Part IV—\$22,971,000.

funding for environmental restoration, unless the Secretary of Defense determines additional obligations are necessary and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of his determination and the necessary reasons for the increase.

The following table displays the statutory ceiling established by the Committee and is equal to the Department's execution plan for fiscal year 1999.

Account	Total program	Ceiling on envi- ronmental restora- tion costs
BRAC III	\$433,464,000 1,297,240,000	\$271,800,000 426,036,000
Total	1,730,704,000	697,836,000

The Committee directs the Department of Defense to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanup and, to the greatest extent possible, to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations.

### CALIFORNIA—ARMY BASE, RIO VISA

The Committee is aware of two major problems at the Army Base in Rio Visa, California, slated for closure by the 1995 Base Realignment and Closure Commission, that present a serious threat to human safety. These include severely deteriorated buildings which have exposed asbestos panels and present lead paint dangers and hazardous motor oil and underground storage tank wastes that necessitate environmental cleanup. The Committee expects the Department of the Army to demolish the unsafe buildings and complete the environmental cleanup within existing appropriations in an expedited fashion to ensure conveyance of the base. The Army is directed to report to the Committee by July 15, 1998 on this matter.

### CALIFORNIA—NORTON AIR FORCE BASE

The Committee is concerned that thirty-one buildings, covering 52.9 acres, of the former Norton AFB were built between 1940 and 1945 and contain great quantities of asbestos and lead based paint which present a threat to human safety. The Committee expects the Air Force to use remaining BRAC I funds to safely demolish these contaminated structures labeled A through H. The Air Force is directed to report to the Committee by July 15, 1998 on this matter.

### CALIFORNIA—PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to report by January 15, 1999 on the current status of environmental remediation activities at the Presidio of San Francisco, including the estimated dates for completion of such activities.

#### CALIFORNIA—TREASURE ISLAND

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to report by January 15, 1999 on the planned disposition of ramps, access roads, and rights of way from the San Francisco Bay Bridge to the Treasure Island Naval Station, including an evaluation of the need for seismic upgrades and the possible transfer of such property to the State of California.

# FLORIDA—NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY UNDERWATER SOUND REFERENCE DETACHMENT

The Committee is aware that the Sound Lab is a unique situation in that it is surrounded for a significant distance on all sides by residential development. Serious concern exists for the safety of children in the area since the community will have very easy access to Lake Gem Mary after the Navy departs the area. The Committee expects the Navy to demolish the two large metal docks that extend into the center of the lake with remaining BRAC I funds to ensure a tragedy will not occur at the Lake. The Navy is directed to report to the Committee by July 15, 1998 on this matter.

# KENTUCKY—LOUISVILLE NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION: ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

The Secretary of the Navy is directed to report to the Committee by January 15, 1999 on the current status of ongoing efforts at the Louisville Naval Ordnance Station, with emphasis on the following activities:

Contaminated floor removal/replacement;

Environmental sampling;

Electrical distribution system maintenance;

Removal and disposal of contaminated materials and debris;

Completion of Navy operational closure requirements; and

Groundwater remediation (ensuring that ongoing operations at the facility are unimpeded by the appropriate remediation of metals contaminated groundwater).

This report is to include the estimated dates for completion of all remediation activities.

## FUTURE COSTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

Since the first appropriations were enacted for the Base Realignment and Closure Program in fiscal year 1990, the Committee has been concerned that the full cost of this effort should be clearly defined and displayed. In hearings before the Committee this year, the Department has testified that, upon completion of the Base Realignment and Closure Program, it intends to program and budget for all further costs of environmental restoration at base realignment and closure sites in the Operations and Maintenance accounts. The Committee strongly objects to this approach, based on the Department's estimate that such requirements will total \$686,900,000 in fiscal year 2001 and approximately \$500,000,000 annually thereafter until completion.

In order to continue the consolidation of all expenses related to base realignment and closure, the Department is directed to submit a legislative proposal for the establishment of a Treasury account entitled "Base Realignment and Closure Environmental Restoration". Further, the Department is directed to program and budget for environmental restoration efforts related to the four rounds of Base Realignment and Closure performed from 1988 through 1995 under such account for all such expenses.

### CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The Department of Defense has requested a total of \$232,719,000 within the fiscal year 1999 budget request for base realignment and closure for construction projects funded under the Base Realignment and Closure Accounts, Parts III and IV. The Committee recommends full funding for these important projects. The Committee provides approval and appropriated funds for the following construction projects as contained in Executive Summary of Justification Data submitted to Congress February, 1998, as subsequently revised and as modified by reprogramming actions requested through May 26, 1998:

Component/State/Project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Navy BRAC III Construction, Fiscal Year 1999:		
Nevada:		
Naval Air Station, Fallon: Bachelor Officer Quarters Phase II (P-XX1T)		11,100
Dacifetol Officer Quarters Friase II (1-MT1)		
Subtotal Navy BRAC III Nevada		11,100
Total for Navy BRAC III Construction, Fiscal Year 1999		11,100
Army BRAC IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1999: Alaska:		
Fort Greely:		
Munitions Storage Facility (PN 47461)	IV	1,550
Subtotal Army Alaska		1,550
Colorado:		
Fitzsimons Army Medical Center:		
Warehouse Addition (PN 47653)	IV	1,550
Reserve Center (PN 50296)		2,750
Subtotal Army Colorado		4,300
ladina.		
Indiana:		
Crane Army Ammunition Activity: Surveillance Test Facility (PN 50057)	IV	1 050
Surveillance lest facility (FN 50057)	1	1,850
Subtotal Army Indiana		1,850
Maryland:		
Fort Detrick:		
Physical Fitness Center (PN 48153)	IV	3,050
Fort Meade:		
Administrative Facility (PN 46307)		12,000
Administrative Facility Renovation (PN 47770)		2,900
Administrative Facility (PN 47237)	IV	6,300
Subtotal Army Maryland		24,250
New York:		
Fort Totten:		
Storage Facility (PN 46258)	IV	1,900
Subtotal Army New York		1,900
•		

Component/State/Project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Pennsylvania:		
Letterkenny Army Depot: Enclave Fencing (PN 49714)	IV	1,150
•		· · · · · ·
Subtotal Army Pennsylvania		1,150
Virginia:		
Fort Pickett: Reserve Center (PN 46354)	IV	3,100
Fort Lee:		
WAC Museum (PN 50091)	IV	2,400
Subtotal Army Virginia		5,500
Various Locations:	•	
Program Management	IV	2,350
Subtotal Army Various		2,350
Total for Army BRAC IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1999		42,850
Army BRAC IV Family Housing Construction, FY 1999: Alaska:	:	
Fort Wainwright:		
Family Housing (4 units) (PN 47530)	IV	1,700
Total Army BRAC IV Family Housing Construction, Fiscal Year 1999		1,700
Navy BRAC IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1999: California:		
Naval Air Weapons Station, Point Mugu: Aviation Support Facilities (260U)	IV	1 500
Maintenance and Training Facilities		1,500 12,800
Subtotal Navy California		14,300
District of Columbia:	:	
Naval District of Washington:		
NAVSEASYSCOM Headquarters Building Relocation (009U)	IV	71,543
Subtotal Navy District of Columbia		71,543
Hawaii:	:	
Naval Telecommunications Center, Makalapa:		
Building Addition (935U)	IV	920
Subtotal Navy Hawaii		920
Massachusetts:	:	
Naval Security Group Activity, Boston:		
Defense Courier Service Building (935U)	IV	850
Subtotal Navy Massachusetts		850
Tennessee:		
Naval Support Activity, Memphis: Building Renovation (329U)	IV	4,200
Subtotal Navy Tennessee	4,200	4,200
Texas:		
Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi: Sled Ramp Facility and Land Acquisition (421U)	IV	13,313
sion name rading and said regalotton (1210)		10,010

Component/State/Project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Subtotal Navy Texas		13,313
Virginia:		
Naval Station, Norfolk:		
Building Renovations and Alterations (317U)	IV	3,970
Strike Fighter Weapons School Additions (163U)	IV	4,073
Subtotal Navy Virginia		8,043
Total for Navy BRAC IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1999		113,169
hir Force BRAC IV Construction, Fiscal Year 1999:	:	
New York:		
Stewart International Airport, Newburgh:		
Communications Training Complex (WHAY 95963)	IV .	6,000
Subtotal Air Force New York		6,000
Oklahoma:	•	
Tinker AFB:		0.000
Alter Product Management (WWYK99032)	IV IV	2,300 3,800
Add/Alter Fuel Air Facility (WWYK993201A)	IV	1,300
Subtotal Air Force Oklahoma		7,400
Texas: Kelly AFB: Security Fence/Gates (MBPB993205R1) Vehicle OPS/Maintenance Complex (MBPB993213R1) Fuel Operations Facility (MBPB993214R1) Reconfigure Utility Systems (MBPB9933230)	IV IV IV	400 6,200 1,200 2,500
Subtotal Air Force Texas		10,300
Utah:	=	
Hill AFB:		
Gas Turbine Engine Test Cells (KRSM993009)	IV	2,100
Alter Produce Management/Composites Beddown (KRSM983102)	IV	5,300
F-117 Radar Facility (KRSM983002)	IV .	1,100
Subtotal Air Force Utah		8,500
Various Locations:		
Planning and Design (BCL99RD4)	IV	700
Total Air Force BRAC IV Construction, FY 1999		32,900
Defense Logistics Agency BRAC IV Construction, FY 1999: Utah:	=	
Defense Distribution Depot, Hill AFB, Utah: General Purpose Warehouse	IV	31,000
uciiciai i uipuse viaiciiuuse		31,000
		31,000
Subtotal Defense Logistics Agency Utah		01,000

# Administrative Provisions

The Department of Defense is required to notify the appropriate Committees of Congress 21 days prior to the initiation of any new project which has not been included in the Department's budget re-

quest for the current (or any previous) fiscal year. If the Department wishes to finance a previously approved prior year project in the current fiscal year, no notification is required.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART I

The Committee notes that fiscal year 1995 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART II

The Committee notes that fiscal year 1998 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART III

Fiscal year 1998:	
Appropriation	\$768,702,000
Supplemental appropriation	
Total	769,722,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	433,464,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	433,464,000
Comparison with:	, ,
Fiscal year 1998 total appropriation	-336,258,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	

The Committee recommends a total of \$433,464,000 for Base Realignment and Closure, Part III for fiscal year 1999. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1999 and a decrease of \$336,258,000 below the total amount appropriated for fiscal year 1998. Below is the recommended distribution of funds:

Activity	Amount
Military Construction	\$11,100,000
Family Housing	0
Environmental	271,800,000
Operations and Maintenance	
Military Personnel (PCS)	9,734,000
Other	
Revenues	0
Total	\$433,464,000

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART IV

Fiscal year 1998:	
Appropriation	\$1,175,398,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	1,297,240,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,297,240,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1998 appropriation	+121,842,000
Fiscal year 1999 estimate	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,297,240,000 for Base Realignment and Closure, Part IV for fiscal year 1999. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1999 and an increase of \$121,842,000 above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1998. Below is the recommended distribution of funds:

Activity	Amount
Military Construction	\$219,919,000
Family Housing	1,700,000
Environmental	426,036,000
Operations and Maintenance	627,344,000
Military Personnel (PCS)	12,598,000
Other	9,643,000
Revenues	0
-	<del></del>
Total	\$1.297.240.000

### CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3 of rule XXI of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional

legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availabil-

A provision of the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

A provision of the "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part III" states that not more than \$271,800,000 of the funds appropriated shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

A provision of the "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV" states that not more than \$426,036,000 of the funds appropriated shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

Section 101 of the General Provisions states that none of the funds appropriated in Military Construction Appropriations Acts shall be expended for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction, where cost estimates exceed \$25,000, to be performed within the United States, except Alaska, without the specific approval in writing of the Secretary of Defense.

Section 102 of the General Provisions permits use of funds for

hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 of the General Provisions permits use of funds for Defense Access Roads.

Section 104 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

Section 105 of the General Provisions limits the use of funds for

purchase of land or land easements.

Section 106 of the General Provisions prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available. Section 107 of the General Provisions limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

Section 108 of the General Provisions prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 of the General Provisions prohibits payment of real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of

new bases overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 of the General Provisions establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineer services in Japan, in any NATO member country, and in the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 of the General Provisions establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

Section 114 of the General Provisions limits obligations during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 of the General Provisions permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 of the General Provisions permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 of the General Provisions permits obligation of funds from more than one fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

Section 118 of the General Provisions allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

Section 119 of the General Provisions directs the Secretary of Defense to report annually regarding the specific actions to be taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of the common defense burden.

Section 120 of the General Provisions allows transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 of the General Provisions prohibits expenditure of

funds except in compliance with the Buy American Act.

Section 122 of the General Provisions states the Sense of the Congress notifying recipients of equipment or products authorized to be purchased with financial assistance provided in this Act to purchase American-made equipment and products.

Section 123 of the General Provisions permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD

Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 124 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing or military unaccompanied housing.
Section 125 of the General Provisions appropriates \$6,000,000 in

previously authorized proceeds from the sale of land and family housing units at Paine Field, Washington.

Section 126 of the General Provisions states the sense of Congress regarding the naming of a road at Fort Bragg, North Carolina.

The Committee recommends deleting the following General Provisions which were included in the fiscal year 1998 Military Construction Appropriations Act (Public Law 105-45), because these provisions are no longer required [section numbers refer to sections contained in Public Law 105–45]:

Section 124 stating that the sole source of planning, administrative, and oversight costs incurred by the Housing Revitalization Support Office must come from the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund. This provision is no longer required because it is now contained in the appropriations paragraph for the "Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund" account.

Section 125 reducing the appropriation of various accounts in the

bill.

Section 126 regarding a Special Forces Training Facility at Key West Naval Air Station, Florida.

Section 127 regarding the lease of property on Waipio Peninsula, Honolulu, Hawaii.

### COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII—CLAUSE 3

In compliance with clause 3 of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the Committee reports that it recommends no changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported.

#### APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3 of rule XXI of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

Military Construction, Army

Military Construction, Navy

Military Construction, Air Force

Military Construction, Defense-wide

Military Construction, Army National Guard

Military Construction, Air National Guard

Military Construction, Army Reserve Military Construction, Naval Reserve

Military Construction, Air Force Reserve

North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security

Investment Program

Family Housing, Construction, Army

Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Army

Family Housing, Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

Family Housing, Construction, Air Force

Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force

Family Housing, Construction, Defense-wide

Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide

Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund

Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part III Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV

The Committee notes that authorization for appropriations in this bill is contained in H.R. 3616, which passed the House on May 21, 1998. It is anticipated the authorization will be enacted into law later this year.

#### Transfer of Funds

Pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule X of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 115, 118, 120, and 123 of the General Provisions, and language included under "Military Construction, Defense-wide" provide certain transfer authority.

#### RESCISSION OF FUNDS

In compliance with clause 1(b) of rule X of the House of Representatives, the Committee reports that it recommends no rescissions in the bill, as reported.

#### CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 2(1)(4) of rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character, shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law \* \* \*  $\,$ 

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution

## COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302(b) of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year. This information follows:

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) Allocation		This bill	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	\$8,235 0	\$9,100 0	\$8,234 0	\$8,963 0

### ADVANCE SPENDING AUTHORITY

This bill provides no advance spending authority.

#### FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following information was provided to the Committee by the Congressional Budget Office.

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Budget authority, fiscal year 1999	\$8,234,000
Outlays:	
1999	2,615,000
2000	2,739,000
2001	1,557,000
2002	787,000
2003 and beyond	395,000

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

## FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of Public Law 93–344, the new budget authority and outlays provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments are as follows:

#### [In millions of dollars]

New budget authority	0
Fiscal year 1998 outlays resulting therefrom	0

## STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ARMY		
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX	3,550	3,550
PROVOST MARSHAL/FIRE STATION COMPLEX		4,300
AIRFIELD OPERATIONS CENTER		1,550
AIR FORCE MAXWELL AFR		
FIRE TRAINING FACILITYOFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL DINING FACILITY	1,837 4,796	1,837 4,796
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY.  OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL DINING FACILITY.  OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL STUDENT DORMITORIES.  ARMY NATIONAL GUARD MONTGOMERY	12,765	12,765
US PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE		6,000
MAXWELL AFB CONSOLIDATED AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,200	5,200
TOTAL, ALABAMA	41,748	53,598
ALASKA		
EIELSON AFB CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE ELMENDORF AFB	4,352	4,352
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (PHASE I)	19,500	19,500
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/FIRE STATION COMPLEX (PHASE I)		3,500
TOTAL, ALASKA	23,852	27,352
NAVY ARIZONA		
FLAGSTAFF NAVAL OBSERVATORY OPTIC INTERFEROMETER SUPPORT FACILITY YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION	990	990
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	11,010	11,010
LUKE AFB CONTROL TOWER ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		3,400
PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION (PHOENIX) COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	10,640	10,640
TUSCON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AGS COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX		7,500
TOTAL, ARIZONA	22,640	33,540
ARKANSAS		
ARMY PINE BLUFF ARSENAL AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III) DEFENSE-WIDE	16,500	
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		16,500
BENTON READINESS CENTER		1,988
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	16,500	18,488
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY		2.12
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.  EDUCATION CENTER.  HELIPORT (PHASE III)	7,000	5,100 2,700 7,000

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HÙUSL RECOMMENDED
NAVÝ		
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERSBACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12.400	12,400
FITNESS CENTER	15,640	15,840 5,010
HELICOPTER OUTLYING LANDING FIELD		7,180
MISSILE MAGAZINES	3.240	3,240
CHINA LAKE NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER WEAPONS DIVISION MISSILE MAGAZINES. SURVIVABILITY LIVE FIRE COMPLEX.		6,900
LEMOONE MAYAL AIN SIAIION		1,510
AIRFRAMES FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	5.430	5,430
TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION	4,270 9,430	4,270 9,430
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS NAVAL FACILITY SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND	29,570	29,570
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	8,350	8,350
SAN DIEGO NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE SUBMARINE SUPPORT FACILITY	11,400	11,400
AIR FORCE	,,,400	11,400
EDWARDS AFB RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	10,361	10,361
TRAVIS AFB		10,361
CONTROL TOWERVANDENBERG AFB		4,250
ADD /ALTER MICELLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,500	9,500
SPACE INITITAL QUALIFICATION TRAINING ACADEMIC FAC DEFENSE-WIDE	9,209	9,209
BEALE AFB		
ADD/ALTER PHYSIOLOGICAL SUPPORT FACILITY	3,500	3,500
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (MARGARITA)	3,100	3,100
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (MARGARITA) MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (SAN MATEO)	3,200	3,200
EDWARDS AFB ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE MEDICAL CLINIC	6,000	6.000
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, CORONADO	•	
SOF AMPHIBIOUS OPERATIONS FACILITYSAN DIEGO NAVAL HOSPITAL	3,600	3,600
WATER STORAGE TANK	1.350	1,350
TRAVIS AFB PATIENT MOVEMENT ITEMS/OPERATIONS AND DIST CENTER.	1.700	1,700
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA		176,300
COLORADO		
ARMY		
FORT CARSON RAILYARD EXPANSION (PHASE II)		23,000
AIR FORCE		23,000
FALCON AFB	0.00-	
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	9,601	9,601
ADD/ALTER PREP SCHOOL BUILDINGS	4,413	4,413
ARMY RESERVE FORT CARSON		
ADD/ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/		
EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	1,101	1,101
TOTAL, COLORADO	15,115	38,115
CONNECTICUT		
ARMY RESERVE WEST HARTFORD		
LAND ACQUISITION	1,491	1.491
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	*	•
NAVY		
COMMANDANT, NAVAL DISTRICT (WASHINGTON, DC) FITNESS CENTER	790	790
	, 30	, 30

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
AIR FORCE BOLLING AFB HONOR GUARD TECHNICAL SCHOOL	2,948	2.948
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	3,738	3,738
FLORIDA	0,750	3,750
ARMY MIAMI		
SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS AND LAND ACQUISITION	26,700	
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION ADD/ALTER BUILDING #118		1,500
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,730	3,730
AFLOAT TRAINING GROUP FACILITY		3,163
WHARF ELECTRICAL IMPROVEMENTS		3,000
AIRFIELD ALTERATIONS		1,400
EGLIN AFB DORMITORY. SANTA ROSA ISLAND TEST SITES. EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD 9 (HURLBURT FIELD) CONTROL TOWER.	7,866 12,571	7,866 12,571
TARE INMANUM FAVALLIII	2.014 1,823	2,014 1,823
MACDILL AFB DINING FACILITY	2,494 2,514	4,800 2,494 2,514
TYNDALL AFB CONTROL TOWER DEFENSE-WIDE		3,600
DEF BUEL SUPPORT POINT JACKSONVILLE-MAYPORT ANNEX REPLACE FUEL TANKS. DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT JACKSONVILLE	11,020	11,020
REPLACE FUEL TANKS	11,000	11,000
EGLIN AFB	9,200	9,200
CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT (EGLIN HOSPITAL)	2,400	2,400
ASSAULT STRIP RUNWAY GENERAL PURPOSE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP MACDILL AFB	2,210	5,100 2,210
RENOVATE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL/LIFE SAFETY UPGRADES	8,400 25,400	8,400 25,400
AIR FORCE RESERVE HOMESTEAD ARB	20,400	
DORMITORY RENOVATION		4,600
TOTAL, FLORIDA	129,342	129,805
GEORGIA ARMY		
FORT BENNING WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	28,600	28,600
ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		2,800
KINGS BAY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE MAGNETIC SILENCING FACILITY MODIFICATIONS AIR FORCE		2,550
ROBINS AFB DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE MOODY AFB	11,894	11,894
ALTER CENTRAL MEDICAL FACILITY/ADD DENTAL CLINIC	11,000	11,000
FORT STEWART MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENTAIR NATIONAL GUARD	10,400	10,400
ROBINS AFB B1 WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS/LOAD CREW TRAINING FAC. NAVY RESERVE	3,250	3,250
ATLANTA NAVAL AIR STATION FITNESS CENTER ADDITION		3,100 4,100
TOTAL, GEORGIA	65,144	77,694

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
HAWAII		
ARMY SCHOFIELD BARRACKS LAND ACQUISITION, KAHUKU TRAINING AREA WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	47,500	20,000 47,500
NAVY KANEONE RAV MADINE CORDS AIR STATION		27 410
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	27,410 8,060	27,410 8,060
PEARL HARBOR FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY	9,730	9,730
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADES PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	18,180	18,180
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING.	11,400	11,400
PEARL HARBOR NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION. STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SYSTEM. WAHIAWA NAVAL COMPUTER AND TELECOM AREA MASTER STATION (EASTERN PACIFIC)	22,877 6,090	22,877 6,090
FIRE STATIONAIR FORCE HICKAM AFB	1,970	1.970
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	5,890	5,890
TOTAL, HAWAII	159,107	179,107
IDAHO AIR FORCE MOUNTAIN HÖME AFB		
B1-B CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS SHOP		4,100
DORMITORYLAND_ACQUISITION	8,897	8.897
RANGE IMPROVEMENTS. ARMY NATIONAL GUARD GOWEN FIELD (BOISE)	1,000 2,400	1,000 2,400
ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	4,224	4,224
TOTAL, IDAHO	16,521	20,621
ARMY		
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	5,300	5,300
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER		
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	5,750	5,750
GAS TURBINE TRAINING FACILITY	7,410	7.410
SMALL ARMS RANGE DEFENSE-WIDE GREAT LAKES NAVAL STATION		7,120
HUSPITALMAN SCHOOL ADDITION	7,100	7,100
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	25,560	32,680
ARMY		
CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX (PHASE II) NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	7,100	7,100
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	27,500	
NAVY CRAME NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER	2,000	
AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC WARFARE CENTER.  DEFENSE-WIDE NEWDORT ABBY AMMENITYTON DIAME	ma ville flyg	11,110
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I) AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT		27,500 2,000
TOTAL, INDIANA	36,600	47,710
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP DODGE		
FUEL DISPENSING FACILITY	737	737
TOO PROTEIN PRINCIPLE TO CONTROL PROTEITY		6,500
TOTAL, IONA	737	7,237

INSTALLATION  B PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MANDA A		
ARMY		
FORT LEAVENMORTH		
US DISCIPLINARY BARRACKS (PHASE II)	29,000	29,000
FIRE AND COMBAT PISTOL RANGE		3,600
AIR FORCE MCCONNELL AFB		3,600
WATER STORAGE AND PUMPING FACILITY		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD MCCONNELL AFB		4,450
ADD/ALTER AVIONICS SHOP		
		5,900
TOTAL, KANSAS	29,000	42,950
ARMY		
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT (PICHMOND)		
AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX	5,300	5.300
FORT CAMPBELL WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	-	
	41,000	41,000
MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE I) DEFENSE-WIDE		7.000
FORT CAMPRELE		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.	15,000	15,000
WESTERN KENTIKKY TRAINING STIE (ORESING)		
TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASES IV AND V)	5,435	5,435
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	66,735	
	00,735	73,735
ARMY		
FORT POLK		
CONSOLIDATED RAIL COMPLEX DEFENSE-WIDE		8,300
BARKSDALE AFB		
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINICS	3,450	3,450
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS. ENGINE TEST CELL MODIFICATIONS	2,200	9,520 2,200
NEW UKLEANS NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	2,200	2,200
GALLEY ADDITION		1,730
AIR FORCE RESERVE NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION RENOVATE MAINTENANCE HANGAR #4		
RENUVATE MAINTENANCE HANGAR #4		5,200
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	5,650	30,400
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	26 500	
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT	26,500 1,850	
FORT DETRICK PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	3,550	
NAVY	3,550	3,550
INDIAN HEAD NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION ANNEALING OVEN FACILITY.		
CONTINUOUS PROCESSING SCALE-UP FACTLITY	6,680	6,680 6,590
AIR FORCE ANDREWS AFB		-,
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,448	4,448
DEFENSE-WIDE ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		4,440
AMMINITION DEMILITARIZATION EACTLITY (DUACE T)		26,500
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT		1,850
PERIMETER FENCE (WEST)	668	668
TOTAL, MARYLAND		
	43,696	50,286
MASSACHUSETTS		
ARMY NATIONAL QUARD BARNES ANGB (WESTFIELD)		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY		9,274
FORT DEVENS		
RESERVE REGIONAL SUPPORT COMMAND HEADQUARTERS FAC	3,335	3,335
FORT DEVENS		
RESERVE CENTER RENOVATIONS	840	840

	BUDGET	HOUSE
INSTALLATION & PROJECT		RECOMMENDED
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	4,175	13,449
	.,	
MICHIGAN AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT (ALPENA)	2 000	2 000
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEMSELFRIDGE ANGB (MT CLEMENS)	3,900	3,900
ŘEPLÁCE CONTRÓL TOWER/RÁĎAR APPROACH CONTROL CTR ARMY RESERVE WALKER	5,200	5,200
US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT SHOP	9,439	9,439
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	18,539	18,539
MINNESOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP RIPLEY MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN/SNIPER RANGE NAVY RESERVE	1,023	1,023
NAVAL RESERVE READINESS COMMAND (MINNEAPOLIS) ADMINISTRATIVE HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	3,630	3,630
AIR FORCE RESERVE MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
CONSOLIDATED LODGING FACILITY (PHASE I)		3,236
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	4,653	7,889
MISSISSIPPI		
NAVY GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	10,670	10,670
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION AIR OPERATIONS FACILITY		3,280
KEESLER AFB	00 330	00 770
STUDENT DORMITORIES (2)	29,770 5,756	29,770 5,756
CAMP SHELBY REPLACE BULK FUEL FACILITY	5,300	5,300
KEESLER AFB BIOENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING FACILITY	700	700
STENNIS SPACE CENTER ADMINISTRATIVE TRAINING CENTERARMY NATIONAL GUARD		5,500
BROOKHAVEN READINESS CENTER		2,787
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	52,196	63,763
MISSOURI		
FOOT I FONADO WOOD		
ENGINEER QUALIFICATION RANGE	5,200	5,200 23,000
<del>,</del>		
TOTAL, MISSOURI	5,200	28,200
NEBRASKA AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
LINCOLN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT JOINT USE MEDICAL FACILITY		3,350
NEVADA		
AIR FORCE INDIAN SPRINGS AUXILIARY AIR FIELD		
UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE LOGISTICS AND TRAINING FAC	3,965	3,965
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	7,059	7,059
FACILITY/INFRASTRUCTURE/UTILITIES	3,989	3,969
NELLIS AFB DORMITORY	6,378	6,378
TOTAL, NEVADA	21,391	21,391
	~.,55	,

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ARMY NEW JERSEY FORT MONMOUTH		
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING CENTER ADDITION PICATINNY ARSENAL		7,600
PRECISION MUNITIONS FACILITY		8,400
MCGUIRE AFB DINING FACILITYARMY RESERVE FORT DIX	6,044	6,044
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT		8,731
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	6,044	30,775
AIR FORCE NEW MEXICO		
HOLLOMAN AFB PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		11,100
KIRTLAND AFB	1,774	1,774
DEFENSE-WIDE HOLLOMAN AFB	1,,,,	1,774
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	1,300	1,300
TAOS READINESS CENTER		3,300
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	3,074	17,474
NEW YORK		
FORT DRUM		
AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE (PHASE II) INDOOR FIRING RANGE. U S MILITARY ACADEMY (WEST POINT)		9,000 4,650
CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE I) DEFENSE-WIDE	12,000	12,000
U S MILITARY ACADEMY (WEST POINT) ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION/RENOVATIONAIR NATIONAL GUARD HANCOCK FIELD (SYRACUSE)	2,840	2,840
UPGRADE PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAYSARMY RESERVE		9,500
FORT WADSWORTH ADD/ALTER US ARMY RESERVE CENTER (PHASE I) AIR FORCE RESERVE NIAGARA FALLS ARS		6,424
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY		
TOTAL, NEW YORK	21,264	48,314
NORTH CAROLINA ARMY		
FORT BRAGG BARRACKS UPGRADE DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX. FORCE PROTECTION FACILITIES	30,000  47,000	10,600 30,000 8,300 47,000
NAVY CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE	47,000	47,000
FIRE STATIONINFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY	1,830 12,770	1,830 12,770
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1.620	1,620 4,420
AIR FORCE SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB		
EDUCATION CENTER AND LIBRARY  DEFENSE-WIDE FORT BRAGG		6,100
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSECAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE	6,500	6,500
POPE AFB	16,900	16,900
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	4,100	4,120
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	125,140	150,140

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
•	•	
NORTH DAKOTA		
GRAND FORKS AFB FIRE TRAINING FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE	2,686	2,686
GRAND FORKS AFB ADD/ALTER MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINICARMY NATIONAL GUARD BISMARCK	5,600	5,600
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FAC/READINESS CTR EXPANSION. AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,240	6,240
HECTOR FIELD (FARGO) ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	800	3,650 800
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA		18,976
OHIO		
AIR FORCE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX (PHASE IV-A) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	22,000	22,000
YOUNGSTOWN ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOPAIR AIR NATIONAL GUARD		2,300
SPRINGFIELD-BECKLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT BASE CIVIL ENGINEER/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX ARMY RESERVE		5,000
GAMANNA US ARMY RESERVE CENTER PURCHASE	3,115	3,115
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB ALTER C-141C FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING FACILITY		1,600
TOTAL, OHIO	25,115	34,015
OKLAHOMA		
ARMY		
MCALESTER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEXFORT SILL		10,800
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP (PHASE I)	13,800 20,500	13,800 20,500
ALTUS AFB RAMP AND AIRFIELD LIGHTING		5,300
TINKER AFB COMMAINICATIONS SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	5.085	5,085
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY DORMITORY	5,085 9,100	9,100 11,200
REPAIR PRIMARY RUNWAY		11,200
ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,823	4,400 1,823
FORT SILL REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITYARMY NATIONAL GUARD	3,500	3,500
LEXINGTON ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY EXPANSION	7,382	7,382
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	71,990	92,890
OREGON		
ARMY UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	50,950	
DEFENSE-WIDE UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)		
•		
TOTAL, OREGON	50,950	50,950

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
PENNSYLVANIA		
NAVY PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER SHIP		
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING STATION		2 410
INTEGRATED SHIP CONTROL AND DIAGNOSTIC FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE		2,410
CARLISLE BARRACKS HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION	4.678	4.678
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	4,6.0	.,,,,,
LATROBE READINESS CENTER		2,479
TOTAL. PENNSYLVANIA	4,678	9,567
RHODE ISLAND		
NAVY		
NEWPORT NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	5,630	5,630
NEWPORT NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY	9,140	9,140
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	14,770	14,770
	14,770	14,776
SOUTH CAROLINA NAVY		
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION MISSILE MAGAZINES	1,770	1,770
CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION	• • •	9,737
ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENTPARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT	9,737	- •
FEMALE RECRUIT BARRACKS	7.960	8,030 7,960
AIR FORCE Charleston AFB	•	-
C-17 LIFE SUPPORT FACILITY	4,701	4,701
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7,639 6,769	7,639 6,769
DINING FACILITYAIR NATIONAL GUARD	5,221	5,221
MCENTIRE AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION (EASTOVER) ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		9,000
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	43,797	60,827
SOUTH DAKOTA		
AIR FORCE ELLSWORTH AFB		
ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		6,500
TENNESSEE AIR FORCE		
ARNOLD AFB TEST FACILITIES COOLING TOWER		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		11,600
MCGHEE-TYSON AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE (KNOXVILLE) RELOCATE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE I)		10.000
-		21,600
TOTAL, TENNESSEE		21,000
ARMY		
FORT BLISS TACTICAL VEHICLE OVERPASS		4,100
FORT HOOD RAILHEAD FACILITY (PHASE I)	17,500	17,500
FORT SAM HOUSTON		
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	21,800	21,800
INGLESIDE NAVAL STATION BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE IV)		12,200
AIR FORCE BROOKS AFB		-
MEDICAL SYSTEMS TRAINING FACILITY		7,000
B-18 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY		3,350
LACKLAND AFB DORMITORY	6,800	6,800
OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,130	6,130

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST R	HOUSE ECOMMENDED
LAUGHLIN AFB		
CONSOLIDATED BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY		3,815 3,500
RANDOLPH AFB BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY  DEFENSE-WIDE	3,166	3,166
FORT HOOD BLOOD DONOR CENTER. PRIMARY CARE CLINIC.	3,100 11,000	3,100 11,000
NAVY RESERVE GALVESTON MARINE RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	4,090	4,090
TOTAL, TEXAS	75,586	
UTAH		
ARMY		
TOOELE ARMY DEPOT AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEXARMY RESERVE	3,900	3,900
SALT LAKE CITY US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/DIRECT SUPPORT - GENERAL SUPPORT/EQUIPMENT		•
US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE	13,200	
SHOP (PHASE II)	***	5,076
HILL AFB MUNITIONS HANDLING AND STORAGE FACILITY		1,900
TOTAL, UTAH	17,100	10,876
VIRGINIA		
ARMY		
CHARLOTTESVILLE NATIONAL GROUND INTELLIGENCE CENTER FACILITY FORT EUSIIS	46,200	46,200
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	36,531	36,531
NAVY DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER WARFARE DEFENSES TECHNICAL FACILITY. WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADDITION DAM NECK TACTICAL TRAINING GROUP (ATLANTIC)	5,130	10,550 5,130
TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	2,430	2,430
NORFOLK FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER FIRE STATION. NORFOLK FLEET TRAINING CENTER ENGINEERING TRAINING FAC ADDITION AND RENOVATION.	1,770	1,770
ENGINEERING TRAINING FAC ADDITION AND RENOVATION	5,700	5,700
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION  BERTHING PIER (PHASE I)  NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD (PORTSMOUTH)	32,030	32,030
DREDGINGOCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION	6,180	6,180
FITNESS CENTER	-000 000 000	6,400
CHEATHAM ANNEX FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT OFFICE - OPERATIONAL		
WAREHOUSE FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT OFFICE - ADMINISTRATIVE	9,400	9,400
OFFICE	1,900	1,900
CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE	10,500	10,500
CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL HOSPITAL HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE X)	17,954	17,954
POWHATAN READINESS CENTER	2,435	2,435
FORT BELVOIR US ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	10,314	10,314
FORT EUSTIS AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY		
NAVY RESERVE NORFOLK NAVAL AIR RESERVE CENTER		
HANGAR ALTERATIONS	1,660	1,660
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	201,752	218,702

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
WASHINGTON		
ARMY		
FORT LEWIS CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4 0-0	
CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4,650 7,600 3,950	4,650 7,600
CONSOLIDATED FUEL FACILITY	3,950	3,950
	2,000	
MANY		
BREMERTON STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY (PACIFIC) SECURITY FACILITY UPGRADES	2,750	2,750
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD (BREMERTON)		
COMMUNITY SUPPORT FACILITY		4,300
IR FORCE		
FAIRCHILD AFB CONVERT NOSEDOCK TO WASHRACK FACILITY KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT		3,700
KC-135 SOUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7.620	7,620
UPGRADE FUEL CELL NOSEDOCK		2,500
MCCHORD AFB		
C-17 ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,321 1,823	2,321 1,823
C-17 ADD/ALTER SIMULATOR FACILITYC-17 ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT	1,623	1,023
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,110	2,110
C-17 ALTER COMPOSITE SHOP	1,630	1,630
C_17 ALTED MAINTENANCE MANGARS	S 477	E 497
C-17 FLIGHTLINE SUPPORT FACILITY. C-17 LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY. C-17 RAMP/HYDRANT FUELS SYSTEM.	4,029 4,413 18,025 2,224 2,321	4,029
C-17 LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	18 025	4,413 18,025
C-17 REPAIR BASE ROADS	2.224	2,224
C-17 SHORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP	2,321	2,321
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	6,524	6,524
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BANGOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE	5.700	5.700
DISEASE VECTOR ECOLOGY AND CONTROL CENTER BREMERTON NAVAL HOSPITAL	0,700	0,,,,,
ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL	28,000	28,000
MCCHORD AFB		
CLINIC/WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHSE REPLACEMENT.	20,000	20,000
***************************************		
CONSOLIDATED MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		3,400
TOTAL. WASHINGTON	134,117	148,017
WEST VIRGINIA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP DAWSON (KINGWOOD)		
READINESS CENTER	4,465	
<del>-</del>		
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	4,400	
WISCONSIN		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
VOLK FIELD (CAMP DOUGLAS)	9,600	9,600
UPGRADE RUNWAY AND TAXIWAY	9,500	9.500
ARMY RESERVE FORT MCCOY		
CRASH RESCUE STATION	1,850	1,850
MACHINE GUN RANGE	2,032	2,032
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	13,482	13,482
CONUS CLASSIFIED ARMY		
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS		
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,600	4,600
TOTAL, CONUS CLASSIFIED	4 600	4 600
	→,600	4,600
BELGIUM ARMY		
BELGIUM		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,300	6,300
•		
TOTAL, BELGIUM	6,300	6,300

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
GERMANY		
ARMY CONN BARRACKS (SCHWEINFURT) WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	18,000	18,000
KITZINGEN FAMILY HOUSING (WUERZBURG) CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,250	4,250
AIR FORCE SPANGDAHLEM AB CONSOLIDATED AIR CONTROL SQUADRON OPERATIONS FAC	4,466	4,466
DORMITORY	9,501	9,501
TOTAL, GERMANY	36,217	36,217
GREECE NAVY		
SOUDA BAY CRETE NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,260	5,260
TOTAL, GREECE	5,260	5,260
GUAM		
NAVY NAVAL ACTIVITIES (GUAM) SPECIAL WARFARE UNIT FACILITY	5,500	5,500
WATERFRONT CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES DEFENSE-WIDE NAVAL ACTIVITIES (GUAM)	4,810	4,810
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION AND RENOVATION	8,600 4,500	8,600 4,500
TOTAL, GUAM	23,410	23,410
ITALY'		
NAVY NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY (CAPODICHINO) DEFENSE-WIDE	18,270	18,270
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION FLIGHT LINE DISPENSARY	5,300	5,300
TOTAL, ITALY	23,570	23,570
KOREA		
ARMY CAMP CASEY		
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	13,400	13,400
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	18,226	18,226
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	8,500	8,500
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWALAIR FORCE	5,800	5,800
KUNSAN AB DORBITORY	5,958	5,958
OSAN AB DORMITORY	7,496	7,496
TOTAL, KOREA	59,380	59,380
KWAJALEIN		
ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL POWER PLANT (ROI NAMUR ISLAND) (PHASE I) DEFENSE-WIDE	12,600	12,600
MISSILE RANGE MULTI PURPOSE MISSILE TEST FACILITIES	4,600	4 600
		4,600
TOTAL, KWAJALEIN	17,200	17,200
PORTUGAL  DEFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD (AZORES)		
FUEL PUMPHOUSE AND TANKS	7,700	7,700
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	7,700	7,700
PUERTO RICO DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT BUCHANAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	8,805	8,805

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
BOOCEVELT BOARD MAYAL STATION		
OPERATIONS FACILITY		18,405
TURKEY	10,400	10,400
AIR FORCE		
INCIRLIK AB CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY	2,949	2,949
TOTAL, TURKEY	2,949	2,949
UNITED KINGDOM		
NAVY ST MAWGAN JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATION CENTER EDUATION CENTER ADDITION	2,010	2,010
RAF LAKENHEATH	15,838	15,838
DORMITORIES (2)	-	•
DORMITORY. KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT DEFENSE-WIDE	10,926 14,034	10,926 14,034
RAF LAKENHEATH HOSPITAL ANNEX REPLACEMENT	10,800	10,800
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	53,608	53,608
NATO		
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	185,000	169,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
HOST NATION SUPPORT	20,450 41,819 10,000	20,450 43,342
PLANNING AND DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION. GENERAL REDUCTION.	10.000	5,000
GENERAL REDUCTION		-6,000
NAVY UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	58,346 8,900	60,346
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,900	8,900
GENERAL REDUCTION		-5,000
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION. PLANNING AND DESIGN.	7,135	7,135 37,592 -11,000
GENERAL REDUCTION	35,592	37,592
DFFFNSF-WIDE		-11,000
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM		
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	45,950	46,950 4,890
GENERAL REDUCTION	46,950 9,390	-12,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	1,450	1,450
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	12.561	100 12,561
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	2,200	2,200
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.  DEF THREAT REDUCTION/TREATY COMPLIANCE AGENCY BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION  DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.  DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	8,555	8,555
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	24,866	24,866
UNSPECIAL OBERATIONS COMMAND	4 000	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.  DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.  DEF THREAT REDUCTION/TREATY COMPLIANCE AGENCY	4,200	4,200
DEF THREAT REDUCTION/TREATY COMPLIANCE AGENCY	900	1,500
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	3,595	3,595
DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	999	999
DEFENSE MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL CENTER	1,900	1,900
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.  DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.  DEF THREAT REDUCTION/TREATY COMPLIANCE AGENCY.  JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.  DOD DEPENDENT SCHOOLS.  DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY.  DEFENSE MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL CENTER.  DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.	3,000	3.000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	
AMMY NATIONAL GUARD			
AMSPECIFIED MORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,548	5,548	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	546	546	
GENERAL REDUCTION		-2,000	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,549	9,549 5,502	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,702	-4.000	
GENERAL REDUCTION		~4,000	
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	7.368	7.368	
NAVY RESERVE	, ,,,,,,	.,	
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,974	1,974	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,974 877	877	
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,432	3,432	
UNSPECIFIED WINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,903	2,903	
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	32,659	31.699	
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS			
DEFENSE-WIDE			
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES (CAMP LEJEUNE, NC)	1,300	1,300	
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
REDSTONE ARSENAL (118 UNITS)	14.000	14,000	
HANAII	14,000	14,000	
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (64 UNITS)	14,700	14,700	
NORTH CAROLINA		• • •	
FORT BRAGG (170 UNITS)	19,800		1/
TEXAS			
FORT HOOD (154 UNITS)	21,600		17
VIRGINIA		13,000	
FORT LEE (80 UNITS)		13,000	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	28,629	37,429	
CONSTRUCTION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		5,,425	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,350	6,350	
GENERAL REDUCTION	-1,639	-2,639	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	103,440	82,840	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
INTEREST PAYMENTS	3	3	
LEASING	202,155	202,155	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	415	415	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	467,914	467,914	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	250,407	250,407	
SERVICES ACCOUNT		52,222 80,089	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT			
Pulvitantings Account			
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,104,733	1,097,697	
TOTAL CAMELY LIGHTANO ACMY	1 200 122	1 100 537	•
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,208,173		
			•

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED	
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
CALIFORNIA LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (162 UNITS) HAWAII	30,379		1/
PEARL HARBOR PUBLIC WORKS CENTER (150 UNITS)	29,125	29,125	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	211,991	92,037	2/
PLANNING AND DESIGN	15,618	15,618	
GENERAL REDUCTION	-6,323	-6,323	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	280,790	130,457	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	414,967	414,967	
LEASING	135,079	135,079	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	293	293	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	82,331 33,199	82,331 33,199	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	184,519	184,519	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	64.829	64,829	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	76	76	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	915,293	915,293	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		1,045,750	
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
ALABAMA			
MÄXWELL AFB (143 UNITS)	16,300	16,300	
EIELSON AFB (46 UNITS)	12,932	12,932	
EDWARDS AFB (48 UNITS)	12,580	12,580	
VANDENBERG AFB (95 UNITS)	18,499	18,499	
DOVER AFB (55 UNITS)	8,998		1/
MACDILL AFB (48 UNITS)	7,609	7,609	
PATRICK AFB (46 UNITS)TYNDALL AFB (122 UNITS)	9,692 14.500	14,500	1/
NEBRASKA			
OFFUTT AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY)	900 870	900 870	
OFFUTT AFB (90 UNITS)		12,212	
NEVADA NELLIS AFB (60 UNITS)			
NEW MEXICO KIRTLAND AFB (37 UNITS)	6,400	10,550 6,400	
OHIO WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB (40 UNITS)		5,400	• /
TEXAS	5,600		1/
DYESS AFB (64 UNITS)	9,415	7,000	1/
FAIRCHILD AFB (HOUSING OFFICE/MAINTENANCE FACILITY). FAIRCHILD AFB (14 UNITS)	1,692 2,300	1,692 2,300	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	81,778	81,778	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,342	11,342	
GENERAL REDUCTION	-7,584	-9,584	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	226,035	207,880	

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS. MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT LEASING. FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT UTLITIES ACCOUNT SERVICES ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	118.071 37.218 152,214 36.066 52,495	118,071 36,427 152,214 35,849 48,712
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,016,030	333,004
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA) (MENWITH HILL, UNITED KINGDOM) (27 UNITS) (DLA) (RICHMOND, VA CARPORTS	50	
AND SHELTERS; LATHROP, CA FENCING)	295	295
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION  OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	345 126	345 126
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	2,153 125 70	2,153 125 70 244
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA) UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	244 735 355 51 450	735 355 51 450
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA). LEASING (NSA). LEASING (DIA). MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA). MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA).	12,292 18,847	424 12,292 18,847 496 531
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	~	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	37,244	37,244
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND		
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.	7,000	242,438
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND		*
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND	12,800	7,500
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III	433,464	433,464
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	1,297,240	1,297,240
TOTAL, BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE		1,730,704
GENERAL PROVISIONS		
SECTION 125 (FAMILY HOUSING, EVERETT, WA)	6.000	6,000
GRAND TOTAL	7.784.074	8,234,074

<sup>1/</sup> FUNDING APPROPRIATED UNDER "FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND".
2/ IN ADDITION, \$129,954 IS APPROPRIATED UNDER "FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND".

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 1998 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 1999	NEW BUDGET O AMOUNTS RI	(OBLIGATION ECOMMENDEI	AL) AUTHORI D IN THE BILL	IY FOR 1998 A FOR 1999	<b>Q</b>
Agency and item	Appropriated, 1998 (enacted to date)	Budget esti- mates, 1999 (3)	Recommended in bill (4)	Bill compared with appropriated, 1998	Bill compared with budget estimates, 1999 (6)
Military construction, Army Military construction, Navy Military construction, Air Force Military construction, Defense-wide	714,377,000 683,666,000 701,855,000 646,342,000	790,876,000 468,150,000 454,810,000 491,675,000	780,599,000 570,643,000 550,475,000 611,075,000	+66,222,000 -113,023,000 -151,380,000 -35,267,000	-10,277,000 + 102,493,000 + 95,665,000 + 119,400,000
Total, Active components	2,746,240,000	2,205,511,000	2,512,792,000	-233,448,000	+307,281,000
Military construction, Army National Guard  Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-174)  Military construction, Air National Guard  Military construction, Army Reserve	118,350,000 3,700,000 190,444,000 74,167,000	47,675,000 34,761,000 71,287,000	70,338,000	-48,012,000 -3,700,000 -92,743,000 -2,273,000	+22,663,000 +62,940,000 +607,000
	47,329,000	15,271,000	33,721,000	-13,608,000 +5,128,000	+18,450,000 +24,836,000
Total, Reserve components	464,233,000	179,529,000	309,025,000	-155,208,000	+129,496,000
Total, Military construction	3,210,473,000	2,385,040,000	2,821,817,000	-388,656,000	+436,777,000
NATO Security Investment Program	152,600,000	185,000,000	169,000,000	+ 16,400,000	-16,000,000
Family housing, Army:  New construction  Construction improvements  Planning and design	101,650,000 86,100,000 9,550,000	70,100,000 28,629,000 6,350,000	41,700,000 37,429,000 6,350,000	-59,950,000 -48,671,000 -3,200,000	-28,400,000 +8,800,000

General reduction		-1,639,000	-2,639,000	-2,639,000	-1,000,000
Subtotal, construction	197,300,000	1,104,733,000	82,840,000	-114,460,000	-20,600,000
Total, Pamily housing, Army	1,337,868,000	1,208,173,000	1,180,537,000	-157,331,000	-27,636,000
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:  New construction  Construction improvements  Planning and design  General reduction	175,196,000 203,536,000 15,100,000	59,504,000 211,991,000 15,618,000 -6,323,000	29,125,000 92,037,000 15,618,000 -6,323,000	-146,071,000 -111,499,000 +518,000 -6,323,000	-30,379,000
Subtotal, construction	393,832,000 976,504,000 18,100,000	280,790,000	130,457,000	-263,375,000 -61,211,000 -18,100,000	-150,333,000
Total, Family housing, Navy	1,388,436,000	1,196,083,000	1,045,750,000	-342,686,000	-150,333,000
Pamily housing, Air Force:  New construction  Construction improvements  Planning and design  General reduction	159,943,000 123,795,000 11,971,000	140,499,000 81,778,000 11,342,000 -7,584,000	124,344,000 81,778,000 11,342,000 -9,584,000	-35,599,000 -42,017,000 -629,000 -9,584,000	-16,155,000
Subtotal, construction	295,709,000 830,234,000 2,400,000	226,035,000	207,880,000	-87,829,000 -45,030,000 -2,400,000	-18,155,000
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,128,343,000	1,016,030,000	993,084,000	-135,259,000	-22,946,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 1998 AND

BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 1999—Continued	UNTS RECOM	IMENDED IN T	HE BILL FOR	1999-Continue	70
Agency and item	Appropriated, 1998 (enacted to date)	Budget esti- mates, 1999 (3)	Recommended in bill (4)	Bill compared with appropriated, 1998 (5)	Bill compared with budget estimates, 1999 (6)
Family housing, Defense-wide: Construction improvements Planning and design	4,900,000	345,000	345,000	-4,555,000 -50,000	
Subtotal, construction	4,950,000	345,000 36,899,000	345,000	-4,605,000 +4,175,000	
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	37,674,000	37,244,000	37,244,000	430,000	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense		7,000,000	242,438,000	+242,438,000 +7,500,000	+235,438,000
Total, Family housing	3,892,321,000	3,477,330,000	3,506,553,000	-385,768,000	+29,223,000
Construction improvements.	(418,331,000)	(322,743,000)	(211,589,000)	(-206,742,000) (-306,742,000)	(-111,154,000)
General reduction	(2,980,030,000)	(-15,546,000) (2,846,920,000)	(-18,546,000) (2,835,093,000)	(-18,546,000) (-144,937,000)	(-3,000,000)
Family Housing Improvement Fund	(20 500 000)	(7,000,000)	(242,438,000)	(+242,438,000) (+7,500,000) (-20,500,000)	(+235,438,000) (-5,300,000)
	(224242)				

			+450,000,000 (+450,000,000)
-116,754,000 -335,238,000 +121,842,000 -1,020,000	-331,170,000	+6,000,000	-974,394,000 (-949,174,000) (-25,220,000)
433,464,000	1,730,704,000	000'000'9	8,234,074,000 (8,234,074,000)
433,464,000	1,730,704,000	000'000'9	7,784,074,000
116,754,000 768,702,000 1,175,398,000 1,020,000	2,061,874,000	-108,800,000	9,208,468,000 (9,183,248,000) (25,220,000)
Base realignment and closure accounts: Part II Part III Part IV Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-174)	Total, Base realignment and closure accounts	Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps (FY 1999 Sec. 125)	Grand total:  New budget (obligational) authority

 $\bigcirc$